arXiv:1511.01028v2 [math.PR] 15 Jun 2016

# THE BROWNIAN PLANE WITH MINIMAL NECK BABY UNIVERSE

YUTING WEN

Abstract. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{Q}_n$ be a uniform rooted quadrangulation, endowed with an appropriate measure, of size $n$ conditioned to have $r(n)$ vertices in its root block. We prove that for a suitable function $r(n)$, after rescaling graph distance by $\left(\frac{21}{40 \cdot r(n)}\right)^{1/4}$, $\mathbf{Q}_n$ converges to a random pointed measured non-compact metric space $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, in the local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology; the space $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is built by identifying a uniform point of the Brownian map with the distinguished point of the Brownian plane.

## 1. Introduction

The scaling limit of large random planar maps has been a focal point of probability research in the recent decade. Le Gall [15] and Miermont [19] independently established that the Brownian map is the scaling limit of several important families of planar maps; Bettinelli, Jacob & Miermont [9] and Abraham [1], respectively, proved that general and bipartite planar maps with a fixed number of edges converge to the Brownian map after rescaling; Addario-Berry & Albenque [3] and Addario-Berry & Wen [4], respectively, showed that simple quadrangulations and 2-connected quadrangulations also rescale to the same limit object.

Curien & Le Gall [12] defined an infinite-volume version of the Brownian map, called the Brownian plane. It shares numerous similarities with the Brownian map, but additionally possesses the scaling invariance property.

In this paper, we describe a pointed measured metric space $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ obtained by identifying a random point of the Brownian map and the distinguished point of the Brownian plane; a formal definition appears in Appendix A. This random geometry structure provides a probabilistic model of the so-called minimal neck baby universe in 2-dimensional quantum gravity; see Jain & Mathur [13]. Motivated by this notion, we call $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ the *Brownian plane with minimal neck baby universe* (*minbus* in the literature). We show that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is the limit of rescaled uniform quadrangulations conditional on having an exceptionally large root block.

Our result relies upon both the convergence of uniform quadrangulations towards the Brownian plane by Curien & Le Gall [12], and the convergence of uniform 2-connected quadrangulations to the Brownian map, recently proved by Addario-Berry & Wen [4]. The main steps of the proof are as follows. First, we show that the sizes of submaps pendant to the root block have an asymptotically stable distribution. Second, we deduce asymptotics for occupancy in a random allocation model with a varying balls-to-boxes ratio. Third, we establish a bound for the number of pendant submaps of the root block, which allow us

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Burnside Hall, Montréal, Québec, H3A 0B9, Canada
School of Mathematics and Statistics, the University of Melbourne, Richard Berry Building, Parkville, Victoria, 3010, Australia
*E-mail address*: yutingyw@gmail.com.
*Date*: June 16, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60C05,05C10.
*Key words and phrases.* Brownian map, Brownian plane, scaling limit, local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology, quadrangulation, connectivity, core, condensation, random allocation.

to apply the occupancy bounds to uniformly control the sizes of pendant submaps. This entails us to show that the pendant submaps act as uniformly asymptotically negligible "decorations" which do not affect the scaling limit.

1.1. **Notation.** Fix a graph $G$. Write $d_G$ for the graph distance on $G$. We denote by $v(G)$ and $e(G)$, respectively, the vertex set and the edge set of $G$. The *size* of $G$ is the number of vertices, $|v(G)|$. For $V \subset v(G)$, write $G[V]$ for the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V$, and let $G - V = G[v(G)\backslash V]$. We say that $G$ is 2-*connected* if the removal of any vertex does not disconnect $G$. A *rooted graph* is a pair $\mathrm{G} = (G, uv)$ where $uv$ is an oriented edge of $G$. If $G$ has a single vertex, say $v$, then we abuse notation and say that $(G, v)$ is the corresponding rooted graph.

A *(planar) map* is a planar graph properly embedded on the 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, considered up to orientation-preserving homeomorphism. In the case that only the metric structure of a map is relevant, we may call it a graph.

A cycle $C$ in a map $M$ is *facial* if at least one connected component of $\mathbb{S}^2\backslash C$ contains neither vertices nor edges of $M$. Write $M^\circ$ for the map obtained from $M$ by collapsing each facial 2-cycle into an edge.

Fix a rooted map $\mathrm{M} = (M, uv)$. We may define a total order $<_{\mathrm{M}}$ on $v(M)$ as follows. List the vertices of M as $u_1 = u, u_2 = v, u_3, ..., u_{|v(M)|}$ according to their order of exploration by a breadth-first search, using the clockwise order of edges around each vertex to determine exploration priority. Furthermore, we define a total order $\prec_{\mathrm{M}}$ on the set of oriented edges of M by letting $u_iu_j \prec_{\mathrm{M}} u_{i'}u_{j'}$ if, either (1) $u_i$ was explored before $u_{i'}$, or (2) $i = i'$ and $u_iu_j$ has higher exploration priority than $u_iu_{j'}$.

A *quadrangulation* is a connected map where each face has degree 4. Fix a rooted quadrangulation $\mathrm{Q} = (Q, uv)$. Given an edge $e = \{i, j\} \in e(Q)$, let $B_e \subset v(Q)$ be maximal subject to the constraints that $Q[B_e]$ is 2-connected and that $\{i, j\} \subset B_e$; we call $Q[B_e]^\circ$ a *block* in $Q$. In particular, for $e = \{u, v\}$, we call $(Q[B_e], uv)$ the *pre-root-block* of Q, and call $R(\mathrm{Q}) \coloneqq Q[B_e]^\circ$ the *root block* of Q.

Now we briefly describe submaps pendant to the pre-root-block, referring to Sections 3.1 and 3.2 for an elaboration. We write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}) = \{f(1), \dots, f(|\mathcal{F}|)\}$ for the set of facial 2-cycles in the pre-root-block of Q. For $i \in \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{F}|\}$, write $P_i = P_i(\mathrm{Q})$ for the unique maximal connected submap of Q lying in the face enclosed by $f(i)$ and containing no edge of $f(i)$. Next, let $L = L(\mathrm{Q}) \in \{P_1, \dots, P_{|\mathcal{F}|}\}$ be the element with the largest size, assuming that $L$ is non-empty; this assumption is always satisfied for the quadrangulations we consider in the sequel. If there are multiple elements of $\{P_1, \dots, P_{|\mathcal{F}|}\}$ of maximal size, we take $L$ to be the one which contains the $\prec_{\mathrm{Q}}$-smallest edge. Furthermore, write

$$\rho_{\mathrm{Q}} = v(R(\mathrm{Q})) \cap v(L(\mathrm{Q})) \ ,$$

and let

$$R^+(\mathrm{Q}) = Q - v\left(L(\mathrm{Q})\right) \setminus \{\rho_{\mathrm{Q}}\} \ .$$

In words, $\rho_{\mathrm{Q}}$ is the unique vertex that connects the root block $R(\mathrm{Q})$ and the largest submap pendant to $R(\mathrm{Q})$, and $R^+(\mathrm{Q})$ consists of $R(\mathrm{Q})$ and all non-largest submaps pendant to it.

Write $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ for the sets of connected and 2-connected rooted quadrangulations, respectively. Given a set of graphs $\mathcal{G}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{G}_n = \{G \in \mathcal{G} : |v(G)| = n\}$. For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \leq n$, let

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n,r} = \{\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_n : |v(R(\mathrm{Q}))| = r\} \ .$$

Given a finite set $\mathcal{G}$, the notation $G \in_u \mathcal{G}$ means that $G$ is chosen uniformly at random from $\mathcal{G}$. We denote by $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}$ convergence in distribution and in probability, respectively. When we say that a sequence $(E_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ of events occurs with high probability, we mean that $\mathbb{P}(E_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Finally, we write $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$.

1.2. **Convergence in the Local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov Topology.** For the current subsection, a reference to Section A.1 for the definition of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ may be helpful, though the intuition of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ presented above should be sufficient for the comprehension of the following contents.

Since $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ contains the Brownian plane as a subspace, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is non-compact and the usual Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov (GHP) topology is too strong for convergence, so we need the notion of local GHP topology. We now briefly describe this topology; for further details, see Abraham, Delmas & Hoscheit [5, Section 2], Burago, Burago & Ivanov [10, Chapter 8], Curien & Le Gall [12, Section 2.1], or Section 2.3 of this paper. We call a metric space $(V, d)$ a *length space* if, for any $x, y \in V$, $d(x, y)$ equals the infimum of the lengths of continuous curves connecting $x$ and $y$. We call a metric space $(V, d)$ *boundedly compact* if all closed balls of finite radius are compact. A *pointed measured metric space* is a quadruple $(V, d, o, \nu)$, where $(V, d)$ is a metric space, $o \in V$, and $\nu$ is a finite Borel measure on $(V, d)$. Given a pointed measured metric space $\mathbf{V} = (V, d, o, \nu)$, for any $r \geq 0$, let $B_r = B_r(\mathbf{V}) = \{w \in V : d(w, o) \leq r\}$, and write $\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{V}) = \left(B_r, d, o, \nu\big|_{B_r}\right)$; here and in the sequel, we often abuse notation and use $d$ to denote the metric restricted to a subspace. Informally, a sequence of pointed measured boundedly compact length spaces $(\mathbf{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $\mathbf{V}$ in the *local* GHP topology if for any $r \geq 0$, $\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{V}_n)$ converges to $\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{V})$ in the *pointed* GHP topology; see Section 2.2 for the definition of pointed GHP topology.

A rooted graph $(G, uv)$ is not a length space, but we may approximate it by a pointed boundedly compact length space so that the balls centred at $u$ in $(G, uv)$ and at the distinguished point in the approximating space are within GHP distance 1. Throughout the paper, when we say that graphs converge in the local or pointed GHP topology, we mean for their approximating spaces. More precisely, given a graph $G$, we view each edge of $G$ as an isometric copy of the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Abusing notation, we continue to write $G$ for the resulting length space, and let $d_G$ be the intrinsic metric; see [10, Chapter 2] for details on length spaces. Finally, we let

$$\mu_G = \sum_{v \in v(G)} \delta_v$$

be the counting measure on $v(G)$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be such that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$ for all $n$ and $r(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, writing $k_n = \left(\frac{40 \cdot r(n)}{21}\right)^{1/4}$, we have*

$$\left(\mathrm{Q}_n,\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{8}{9k_n^4} \cdot \mu_{L(\mathrm{Q}_n)} + \frac{1}{|v(R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n))|} \cdot \mu_{R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)}\right) \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$$

*in distribution for the local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology.*

By assigning 0 mass to components of $R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n) - v(R(\mathrm{Q}_n))$, we obtain a similar scaling limit result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be such that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$ for all $n$ and $r(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. Then for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, writing $k_n = \left(\frac{40 \cdot r(n)}{21}\right)^{1/4}$, we have*

$$\left(\mathrm{Q}_n,\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{8}{9k_n^4} \cdot \mu_{L(\mathrm{Q}_n)} + \frac{1}{r(n)} \cdot \mu_{R(\mathrm{Q}_n)}\right) \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$$

*in distribution for the local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to but simpler than that of Theorem 1.1, so we only provide a proof outline for Theorem 1.1.

In the remainder of the paper, let $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be such that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$ for all $n$ and $r(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$; the first assumption is necessary due to (7.3), while the second one allows us to obtain a non-compact metric space in the limit. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$k_n = \left(\frac{40 \cdot r(n)}{21}\right)^{1/4}.$$

1.3. **Proof Outline for Theorem 1.1.** Write $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ for the pointed measured Brownian plane and $\mathbf{m}_\infty$ for the pointed measured Brownian map, both endowed with uniform measures; see Appendix B or [12] for precise definitions.

In this subsection, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$. It is easily seen that $R_n := R(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ is a uniform 2-connected quadrangulation with $r(n)$ vertices. Then it follows from [4, Theorem 1.1] that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n := \left(R_n,\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_{R_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{r(n)} \cdot \mu_{R_n}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{m}_\infty$$

as $n \to \infty$ for the pointed GHP topology.

Write $R_n^+ = R_n^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)$. To establish an analogous convergence result for $R_n^+$, we show that components of $R_n^+ - v(R_n)$ are uniformly asymptotically negligible. This is accomplished in two main steps. First, Proposition 5.2 and Corollary 7.2 prove that each component is small in size. Then, using the quartic relation between size and diameter, Corollary 7.4 shows that the diameters of these components have order $o(r(n)^{1/4})$ with high probability, proving their negligibility in terms of metric structure. Secondly, Lemmas 8.3 and 8.4 show that these components do not concentrate on a small region, proving their negligibility in terms of measure structure. Then it follows that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+ := \left(R_n^+,\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_{R_n^+},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{|v(R_n^+)|} \cdot \mu_{R_n^+}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{m}_\infty \tag{1.1}$$

for the pointed GHP topology, as shown in Proposition 8.2.

On the other hand, we prove that $L_n := L(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ has $\Omega\left(\frac{n}{(\ln n)^2}\right)$ vertices with high probability; details appear in Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 7.1. Note that conditioned on its size, $L_n$ is a uniform quadrangulation. Then it follows from [12, Theorem 2] that

$$\widehat{\mathbf{L}}_n := \left(L_n,\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_{L_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{8}{9k_n^4} \cdot \mu_{L_n}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} \tag{1.2}$$

for the local GHP topology; the convergence in [12] is stated for the local Gromov-Hausdorff topology, but a slight extension of their proof in fact yields the above formulation, as shown in Appendix B.2.

By (1.1) and (1.2) we easily obtain the joint convergence

$$\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+, \widehat{\mathbf{L}}_n\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} (\mathbf{m}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}) \tag{1.3}$$

for the local GHP topology, where $\mathbf{m}_\infty$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ are independent, as explained in Lemma 9.2.

Finally, we view $\mathrm{Q}_n$ as a space obtained by gluing $R_n^+$ to $L_n$ at the point $\rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n}$, and analogously view $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ as $\mathbf{m}_\infty$ glued to $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$. Lemma 9.1 shows that local GHP convergence is preserved by such a gluing operation. Theorem 1.1 then follows easily from (1.3), as shown near the end of Section 9.

1.4. **Organization of the Paper.** Relevant definitions for GHP topologies are given in Section 2. We associate quadrangulations to a balls-in-boxes model in Section 3, and describe an asymptotically stable distribution for sizes of pendant submaps in Section 4. Then we deduce asymptotics for occupancy in a random allocation model with a varying balls-to-boxes ratio, given in Section 5. In Section 6, we derive a bound for the number

of pendant submaps of the root block. Size-bounds and diameter-bounds for quadrangulations are shown in Section 7. Then we establish (1.1) in Section 8, by showing that uniformly asymptotically negligible attachments do not affect the scaling limit. We complete the proofs for Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 9. In Appendix A, we present formal definitions for the Brownian plane, with and without minbus (i.e., the Brownian map attachment). Finally, in Appendix B, we present an extension of the convergence result from [12] to the local GHP topology, following a review of the scaled Brownian map.

1.5. **Acknowledgement.** I thank my supervisor, Louigi Addario-Berry, for suggesting this problem, for careful proofreading, and for advice on improving the proofs as well as on writing style.

## 2. Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov Distances

In this section, we briefly recall the definition of Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov distance, and its counterparts in terms of pointed topology and local topology.

2.1. **Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov Distance.** We give a brief account of GHP distance in this subsection, referring the reader to [3, 10, 15, 19] for greater details.

Given a metric space $(Z,\delta)$, the *Hausdorff distance* $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ between two non-empty subsets $X$ and $Y$ of $Z$ is

$$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(X,Y)=\inf\left(\varepsilon>0: X\subset Y_\delta^\varepsilon, Y\subset X_\delta^\varepsilon\right)\ ,$$

where for any $A\subset Z$,

$$A_\delta^\varepsilon=\{x\in Z:\exists y\in A,\delta(x,y)<\varepsilon\}\ .$$

Next, let $(Z,\delta)$ be a metric space with its Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(Z)$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(Z)$ the collection of all probability measures on the measurable space $(Z,\mathcal{B}(Z))$. The *Lévy-Prokhorov distance* $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}:\mathcal{P}(Z)^2\to[0,\infty)$ between two probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on $(Z,\delta)$ is

$$\delta_{\mathrm{P}}(\mu,\nu)=\inf\left\{\varepsilon>0:\mu(A)\le\nu(A_\delta^\varepsilon)+\varepsilon \text{ and } \nu(A)\le\mu(A_\delta^\varepsilon)+\varepsilon,\forall A\in\mathcal{B}(Z)\right\}\ .$$

A *measured metric space* is a triple $(V,d,\mu)$ where $(V,d)$ is a metric space and $\mu$ is a non-negative Borel measure on $(V,d)$. The *Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov distance* between two measured metric spaces $\mathbf{V}=(V,d,\mu)$ and $\mathbf{W}=(W,d',\mu')$ is

$$\mathrm{d_{GHP}}\left(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}\right)=\inf\left[\max\left\{\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi(V),\phi'(W)),\delta_{\mathrm{P}}(\phi_*\mu,\phi'_*\mu')\right\}\right]\ ,$$

where the infimum is taken over all isometries $\phi$ and $\phi'$ from $(V,d)$ and $(W,d')$, respectively, into a common metric space $(Z,\delta)$; see Miermont [18, Section 6.2].

Measured metric spaces $(V,d,\mu)$ and $(W,d',\mu')$ are *isometry-equivalent* if there exists a measurable bijective isometry $\Phi:V\to W$ such that $\Phi_*\mu=\mu'$. Write $\mathbb{K}$ for the set of isometry-equivalence classes of measured *compact* metric spaces, then $\mathrm{d_{GHP}}$ is a class function for $\mathbb{K}$, and $(\mathbb{K},\mathrm{d_{GHP}})$ is a Polish space; see [3].

2.2. **Pointed Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov Distance.** Recall the definition of pointed measured metric space from Section 1.2. The *pointed Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov distance* between two pointed measured metric spaces $\mathbf{V}=(V,d,v,\mu)$ and $\mathbf{W}=(W,d',w,\mu')$ is

$$\mathrm{d^\star_{GHP}}\left(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}\right)=\inf\left[\max\left\{\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\phi(V),\phi'(W)),\delta_{\mathrm{P}}(\phi_*\mu,\phi'_*\mu'),\delta(\phi(v),\phi'(w))\right\}\right]\ ,$$

where the infimum is taken over all isometries $\phi$ and $\phi'$ from $(V,d)$ and $(W,d')$, respectively, into a common metric space $(Z,\delta)$.

Pointed measured metric spaces $(V,d,v,\mu)$ and $(W,d',w,\mu')$ are *isometry-equivalent* if there exists a measurable bijective isometry $\Phi:V\to W$ such that $\Phi_*\mu=\mu'$ and $\Phi(v)=w$. Write $\mathbb{K}^\star$ for the set of isometry-equivalence classes of pointed measured *compact* metric spaces, then $(\mathbb{K}^\star,\mathrm{d^\star_{GHP}})$ is a Polish space.

2.3. **Local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov Distance.** We quickly review the definition of local GHP distance, and refer the reader to [12, Section 1.2] or [10, Section 8.1] for further explanations.

The *local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov distance* between two pointed measured metric spaces $\mathbf{V} = (V, d, v, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{W} = (W, d', w, \mu')$ is

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LGHP}}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\left\{\mathrm{d}^{\star}_{\mathrm{GHP}}\left(\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{V}), \mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{W})\right), 1\right\}}{2^r} .$$

Recall the definition of boundedly compact length space from Section 1.2. Write $\mathbb{K}^L$ for the set of isometry-equivalence classes of pointed measured *boundedly compact length spaces*. A recent result [5, Theorem 2.9] shows that $(\mathbb{K}^L, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LGHP}})$ is also a Polish space.

Throughout the paper, when we say convergence for the local (resp. pointed) GHP topology, we mean convergence in the space $(\mathbb{K}^L, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LGHP}})$ (resp. $(\mathbb{K}^{\star}, \mathrm{d}^{\star}_{\mathrm{GHP}})$).

## 3. Map Decomposition and Balls-in-Boxes Model

Fix $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Recall from Section 1.1 that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q})$ denotes the set of facial 2-cycles in the pre-root-block of Q, and that $(P_i(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|)$ lists the submaps enclosed by the facial 2-cycles. When Q is random, we are able to recast the behaviour of $(|v(P_i(\mathrm{Q}))| : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|)$ as a balls-in-boxes allocation problem with unlabelled balls (corresponding to the submaps) and labelled boxes (corresponding to the facial 2-cycles). In this section, we present a map decomposition which we use to elaborate this viewpoint. We emphasize that the map decomposition here is different from the block decomposition given by Addario-Berry [2].

3.1. **Pendant Submap.** Fix a rooted map $\mathrm{M} = (M, uv)$ in this subsection. A *corner* of $M$ incident to a vertex $v \in v(M)$ is an ordered pair $(e, e')$ such that $\{e, e'\} \subset e(M)$, $e$ and $e'$ are incident to $v$, and $e'$ follows $e$ immediately in the clockwise order around $v$. (If $v$ has degree 1 then $e = e'$.) Let $\mathcal{C}(M)$ be the set of corners of $M$. Given $c \in \mathcal{C}(M)$, write $v(c, M)$ and $f(c, M)$ for the vertex and face incident to the corner $c$ in $M$, respectively. It often causes no confusion to write $v(c)$ in place of $v(c, M)$.




(a) a rooted map M (b) a submap $R$ of M

FIGURE 1. M is a rooted map with black and red vertices. $R$ is the submap of M induced by the black vertices. Also, $c$ is a corner of $R$, and $f(c, R)$ is the face of $R$ incident to $c$ (the shaded area). Finally, $V_c(M, R)$ are red vertices.

For this paragraph, a reference to Figure 1 may be helpful. Given a connected submap $R$ of $M$, for each $c \in \mathcal{C}(R)$, let

$$V_c(M, R) = \{u \in v(M) : \exists \text{ a path in } M \text{ from } u \text{ to } v(c) \text{ lying within } f(c, R) \text{ and disjoint from } v(R) \setminus \{v(c)\}\} .$$

(It is possible that $V_c(M,R) = \emptyset$.) Let $P'_c(M,R) = M[V_c(M,R) \cup \{v(c)\}]$. Write $e_c$ for the $\prec_{\mathrm{M}}$-minimal edge in $P'_c(\mathrm{M},R)$; or if $V_c(M,R) = \emptyset$ then let $e_c = \{v(c)\}$. Finally, let $\mathrm{P}'_c(\mathrm{M},R) = (P'_c(M,R), e_c)$ be the rooted submap.



(a) rooted quadrangulation Q




(b) pre-root-block B of Q



$\mathrm{P}'_{c(1)}$ $\mathrm{P}'_{c(2)}$ $\mathrm{P}'_{c(3)}$ $\mathrm{P}'_{c(4)}$ $\mathrm{P}'_{c(5)}$ $\mathrm{P}'_{c(6)}$ $\mathrm{P}'_{c(7)}$ $\mathrm{P}'_{c(8)}$

(c) the sequence of submaps $(\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)} : 1 \le i \le 2|\mathcal{F}|)$ pendant to B in Q

FIGURE 2.

3.2. **Decomposition and Allocation.** In this subsection, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathrm{Q} = (Q, uv) \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$. For the current paragraph, it is convenient to keep Figure 2 on hand. Let B be the pre-root-block of Q, recalling that B is rooted. We require a canonical ordering of the corners of facial 2-cycles in B. Its precise form is unimportant but we describe it

nonetheless for completeness. List the vertices of B as $u_1 = u, u_2 = v, u_3, ..., u_{r(n)}$ in the $<_{\mathrm{B}}$-order. Write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q})$ for the set of facial 2-cycles, and list them as $f(1), ..., f(|\mathcal{F}|)$ in the $\prec_{\mathrm{B}}$-order of their incident edges $u_i u_j$ with $i < j$, and use the clockwise order around $u_i$ to determine priority; we call this the *canonical order* of B. For each $1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|$, let $c(2i-1)$ and $c(2i)$ be the corners incident to $f(i)$, where $c(2i-1)$ is incident to the vertex with smaller index. Given $1 \le i \le 2|\mathcal{F}|$, let $\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)} = \mathrm{P}'_{c(i)}(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B})$ be the pendant submap of Q incident to $c(i)$.

Note that half of the time $\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)}$ is a single vertex, so we may list the non-trivial elements of the *sequence* $\left(\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)} : |v(\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)})| \ge 2, 1 \le i \le 2|\mathcal{F}|\right)$ as

$$(\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|) \ . \tag{3.1}$$

($\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q})$ is the rooted version of $P_i(\mathrm{Q})$ as introduced in Section 1.1.) Notice that $(\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)} : |v(\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)})| \ge 2, 1 \le i \le 2|\mathcal{F}|)$ and $(\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|)$ are in the same order. It follows that $\left(\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)} : 1 \le i \le 2|\mathcal{F}|\right)$ may be recovered from the pair of the sequences $(\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|)$ and $\left(\mathbf{1}_{[|v(\mathrm{P}'_{c(i)})| \ge 2]} : 1 \le i \le 2|\mathcal{F}|\right)$.

Next, given integers $m \ge 1$ and $k \ge 1$, write

$$\mathcal{B}_{m,k} = \left\{ (y_1, \cdots, y_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k : \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i = m \right\}$$

for the set of possible allocations of $m$ (unlabelled) balls in $k$ (labelled) boxes. For each $1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|$, let

$$Y_i(\mathrm{Q}) = |v(\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q}))| - 1 \ . \tag{3.2}$$

Since $|v(\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q}))| \ge 2$ by definition, we have $Y_i(\mathrm{Q}) \ge 1$. It is easily seen that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{F}|} Y_i(\mathrm{Q}) = n - r(n) \ ,$$

and $(Y_i(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r(n),|\mathcal{F}|}$. We call $(Y_i(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|)$ the *allocation associated with* Q.

Conversely, for a given allocation $(y_i : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r(n),|\mathcal{F}|}$, there are multiple rooted quadrangulations $\mathrm{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$ such that $|v(\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q}'))| - 1 = y_i$ for each $1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|$. We call these the *quadrangulations associated with* $(y_i : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}|) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r(n),|\mathcal{F}|}$; the number of such quadrangulations $\mathrm{Q}'$ is given in Lemma 4.2, below.

## 4. Asymptotically Stable Distribution

We use that there exists $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\psi(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ and with $\psi(x) > -1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all integer $k \ge 2$,

$$|\mathcal{Q}_k| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{12^{k-2}(1 + \psi(k-1))}{(k-1)^{5/2}} \ ; \tag{4.1}$$

see [4, Proposition 3.1] or [6]. (There are $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{12^k(1+o(1))}{k^{5/2}}$ rooted maps with $k$ *edges*, so by Tutte's bijection and Euler's formula there are $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{12^k(1+o(1))}{k^{5/2}}$ rooted quadrangulations with $k$ *faces*, or with $k+2$ *vertices*, as $k \to \infty$.)

Next, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$w(k) = \frac{1 + \psi(k)}{k^{5/2}} \ , \ p(k) = \frac{w(k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k)} \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Since $(p(k) : k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a probability distribution, we may associate it with a random variable $\xi$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\xi = k) = p(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots$ be independent copies of $\xi$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, write $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i$.

For $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ with $n \geq r$ and $k \leq n - r$, let

$$\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k} = \{\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r} : |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q})| = k\} \ , \tag{4.3}$$

recalling that $|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q})|$ is the number of facial 2-cycles in the pre-root-block of Q.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Fix $n, r, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq N \leq n - r$, and let $(y_i : 1 \leq i \leq N) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}$. Then for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r,N}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) = y_i, 1 \leq i \leq N) = \mathbb{P}\left(\xi_i = y_i, 1 \leq i \leq N \;\middle|\; S_N = n - r\right) \ .$$

The proof of the proposition is given near the end of this section. For any $y = (y_i : 1 \leq i \leq N) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}$, let $\Lambda^y_{n,r,N}$ be the number of quadrangulations $\mathrm{Q}_n$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,N}$ associated with $y$, i.e., such that $Y_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) = y_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$. To establish the proposition, we first derive an expression for $\Lambda^y_{n,r,N}$.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Fix $n, r, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq N \leq n-r$. Then for $y = (y_i : 1 \leq i \leq N) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}$,*

$$\Lambda^y_{n,r,N} = |\mathcal{R}_r| \cdot \binom{N + 2r - 4}{N} \cdot 2^N \prod_{i=1}^{N} |\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}| \ .$$

*Proof.* To build a quadrangulation $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r,N}$ associated with the allocation $y = (y_i : 1 \leq i \leq N) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}$, proceed as follows.

(1) Let $\mathrm{R} \in \mathcal{R}_r$. Endow each edge of R with an orientation so that the tail precedes the head in breadth-first order. List the resulting oriented edges as $(e_i : 1 \leq i \leq |e(\mathrm{R})|)$ in the increasing order of $\prec_{\mathrm{R}}$. Let $e_0$ be a copy of $e_1$ lying to the left of $e_1$, using which we can locate the root edge among multiple edges; see [4, Proposition 1.7] for details.
(2) Choose a vector $(m_i : 0 \leq i \leq |e(\mathrm{R})|) \in \mathbb{N}^{|e(\mathrm{R})|+1}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{2r-4} m_i = |e(\mathrm{R})|+1+N = 2r-4+1+N$. Then for each $0 \leq i \leq |e(\mathrm{R})|$, split $e_i$ into $m_i$ copies (if $m_i = 1$ then there is no split), resulting in $N + 1$ facial 2-cycles. Collapse the 2-cycle formed by the rightmost copy of $e_0$ and the leftmost copy of $e_1$, and root the map at the resulting edge. List the $N$ remaining facial 2-cycles as $f(1), \ldots, f(N)$ in the canonical order described in Section 3.2.
(3) For each $1 \leq i \leq N$ let $\mathrm{Q}_i = (Q_i, u_i v_i) \in \mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}$.
(4) For each $1 \leq i \leq N$, choose one of the two resulting corners incident to $f(i)$ and denote it $c(i)$. Attach $\mathrm{Q}_i$ to $c(i)$ by identifying $v(c(i))$ with $u_i$, then add another edge with endpoints $u_i$ and $v_i$, drawn so as to quadrangulate the face $f(i)$.

In step (1), the number of choices for R is equal to $|\mathcal{R}_r|$. In step (2), the number of sequences $(m_i \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \leq i \leq 2r-4)$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{2r-4} m_i = 2r-4+1+N$ is equal to $\binom{N+2r-4}{N}$. The number of choices in step (3) is $\prod_{i=1}^{N} |\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}|$. In step (4), for each $1 \leq i \leq N$, there are two ways to choose $c(i)$, so the total number of choices is $2^N$. The proof is then concluded by multiplying the previous four numbers of choices. □

**Corollary 4.3.** *Fix $n, r, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq N \leq n - r$. Then for $y = (y_i : 1 \leq i \leq N) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}$,*

$$\Lambda^y_{n,r,N} = |\mathcal{R}_r| \cdot \binom{N + 2r - 4}{N} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^N 12^{n-r} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 + \psi(y_i)}{y_i^{5/2}} \ .$$

*Proof.* By (4.1), for each $i = 1, \dots, N$,

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{12^{y_i-1}(1+\psi(y_i))}{y_i^{5/2}} .$$

So

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} |\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}| = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^N 12^{\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1+\psi(y_i)}{y_i^{5/2}} ,$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - 1) = n - r - N$. Then by Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^y_{n,r,N} &= |\mathcal{R}_r| \cdot \binom{N+2r-4}{N} \cdot 2^N \prod_{i=1}^{N} |\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}| \\
&= |\mathcal{R}_r| \cdot \binom{N+2r-4}{N} \cdot 2^N \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^N 12^{n-r-N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1+\psi(y_i)}{y_i^{5/2}} \\
&= |\mathcal{R}_r| \cdot \binom{N+2r-4}{N} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^N 12^{n-r} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1+\psi(y_i)}{y_i^{5/2}} .
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.4.** *Fix $n, r, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le N \le n - r$, and let $(y_i : 1 \le i \le N) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}$. Then for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r,N}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) = y_i, 1 \le i \le N\right) = Z^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1+\psi(y_i)}{y_i^{5/2}} ,$$

*where*

$$Z = \sum_{(z_1,\dots,z_N)\in\mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1+\psi(z_i)}{z_i^{5/2}} .$$

*Proof.* For any $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r,N}$ associated with $y = (y_i : 1 \le i \le N) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}$, we have $Y_i(\mathrm{Q}) = y_i$ for each $1 \le i \le N$. Then for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r,N}$, it follows from Corollary 4.3 that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) = y_i, 1 \le i \le N\right) = \frac{\Lambda^y_{n,r,N}}{\sum_{z\in\mathcal{B}_{n-r,N}} \Lambda^z_{n,r,N}} .$$

The corollary follows. □

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.** Recalling the definition of $p(k)$ from (4.2), it follows from Corollary 4.4 that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) = y_i, 1 \le i \le N\right) = Z^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N} w(y_i) = Z^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k)\right)^N \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(y_i) . \tag{4.4}$$

On the other hand, since $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N$ are independent and that $\sum_{i=1}^N y_i = n - r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_i = y_i, 1 \le i \le N \;\middle|\; S_N = n - r\right) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_i = y_i, 1 \le i \le N\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(S_N = n - r\right)} \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(S_N = n - r\right)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(y_i) .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

The proposition follows immediately by comparing (4.4) and (4.5). □

## 5. Random Allocation with Varying Balls-to-Boxes Ratio

Recall from Section 1.3 that $r : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is a function with $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$ for all $n$ and $r(n) = o(n)$. In the remainder of the paper, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ write $m(n) = n - r(n)$, and let $N : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be such that $1 \leq N(n) \leq m(n)$; $N(n)$ corresponds to the number of facial 2-cycles in the pre-root-block of a random quadrangulation with $n$ vertices.

Also recall from Section 4 that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{P}(\xi = k) = p(k)$ where $p(k)$ is given in (4.2), and $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i$ where $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$ are independent copies of $\xi$.

This section aims to describe the law of $(\xi_i : 1 \leq i \leq N(n))$ conditioned on $S_{N(n)} = m(n)$. As discussed at the start of last section, this is a random allocation problem, with $m(n)$ unlabelled balls and $N(n)$ labelled boxes in total, viewing $\xi_i$ as the number of balls in the $i$-th box. There are many established results for balls-in-boxes models where the number of balls is proportional to the number of boxes; see the survey by Janson [14]. However, here we need to allow the balls-to-boxes ratio $\frac{m(n)}{N(n)}$ to tend to infinity, so a variant of established work is needed. We accomplish this in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2, extending the result of [14, Theorem 19.34]. These bounds can be applied to a uniform quadrangulation in $\mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n),N(n)}$, by using Proposition 4.1.

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for any sequence $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, write $(x_{k,(1)}, \ldots, x_{k,(k)})$ as its decreasing ordered sequence, using a fixed rule to break ties. We write $(x_{k,(1)}, \ldots, x_{k,(k)})$ as $(x_{(1)}, \ldots, x_{(k)})$ when the context is clear. In particular, we often write $\xi_{(1)} = \xi_{N(n),(1)} = \max(\xi_i : 1 \leq i \leq N(n))$.

Let $\nu = \mathbb{E}[\xi]$; clearly, $\nu < \infty$.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose that* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\nu N(n)}{m(n)} < 1$. *Then as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} \leq \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) = O\left(n^{-10}\right) .$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose that* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\nu N(n)}{m(n)} < 1$. *Then as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} > \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}, \xi_{(2)} > r(n)^{5/6} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) = O\left(N(n)\,(\ln n)^5\, r(n)^{-5/4}\right) .$$

Before proving Propositions 5.1 and 5.2, we state an immediate application to quadrangulations, below. Recall the definitions of $\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}$ from (4.3) and $Y_i(\cdot)$ from (3.2).

**Corollary 5.3.** *Suppose that* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\nu N(n)}{m(n)} < 1$. *Then for* $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n),N(n)}$, *as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) \leq \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right) = O\left(n^{-10}\right) , \tag{5.1}$$

*and*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}, Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) = O\left(N(n)\,(\ln n)^5\, r(n)^{-5/4}\right) ;$$

*it follows that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) = O\left(N(n)\,(\ln n)^5\, r(n)^{-5/4}\right) . \tag{5.2}$$

*Proof.* The first two equalities follow immediately from Propositions 4.1, 5.1, and 5.2. For the last assertion, simply note that for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n),N(n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}, Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) \leq \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= O\left(N(n)\,(\ln n)^5\, r(n)^{-5/4}\right) + O\left(n^{-10}\right)$$
$$= O\left(N(n)\,(\ln n)^5\, r(n)^{-5/4}\right) \ . \qquad \square$$

Corollary 5.3 gives size-bounds for the largest and second largest submaps pendant to the root block of a uniform quadrangulation in $\mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n),N(n)}$. In the next section, we deduce a bound on the number of facial 2-cycles in the pre-root-block of a uniform quadrangulation in $\mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, which entails us to apply the bounds in Corollary 5.3 to the latter setting.

Now we turn to establishing Propositions 5.1 and 5.2, starting with two lemmas related to sums of asymptotically stable distributions.

For $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\xi_\ell^k = \xi_\ell \mathbf{1}_{[\xi_\ell \le k]}$ and $S_\ell^k = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_i^k$.

**Lemma 5.4.** *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$, as $k \to \infty$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_m^k \ge x\right) \le e^{-\frac{x}{k} + \frac{\nu m}{k}(1+o(1))} \ .$$

*Proof.* By Chernorff inequality and by the fact that $(\xi_i^k : i \in \mathbb{N})$ are iid, for $x > 0$ and $s > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_m^k \ge x\right) \le e^{-sx}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{sS_m^k}\right] = e^{-sx}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{s\xi_1^k}\right]\right)^m \ . \tag{5.3}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{s\xi_1^k}\right] &= \mathbb{P}\left(\xi > k\right) + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}\left(\xi = t\right) \cdot e^{st} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}\left(\xi = t\right) \cdot \left(e^{st} - 1\right) \\
&\le 1 + s\nu + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}\left(\xi = t\right) \cdot \left(e^{st} - 1 - st\right) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.2), there exists $c > 0$, not depending on $k$, such that for all $t \ge 1$, $\mathbb{P}\left(\xi = t\right) \le ct^{-5/2}$. It follows from Taylor expansion that, when $st \le 1$ we have $e^{st} - 1 - st \le s^2t^2$. So

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{s\xi_1^k}\right] \le 1 + s\nu + c\sum_{t=1}^{k} t^{-5/2}s^2t^2 \ .$$

Now take $s = \frac{1}{k}$, then

$$\sum_{t=1}^{k} t^{-5/2}s^2t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^{k} \frac{t^{-1/2}}{k^2} = O\left(\frac{k^{1/2}}{k^2}\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$$

as $k \to \infty$. Combining the bounds in the previous two displays, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{\xi_1^k}{k}}\right] \le 1 + \frac{\nu}{k} + o\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \le e^{\frac{\nu}{k}(1+o(1))} \ . \tag{5.4}$$

With $s = \frac{1}{k}$, the lemma follows immediately from (5.3) and (5.4). $\square$

Recall that $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\nu = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi\right]$.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Fix $\lambda \in (0,1)$. There exists $\delta = \delta(\lambda) > 0$ such that the following holds. For all sufficiently large integers $N$ and $m$ with $\lambda m \ge \nu N = \mathbb{E}\left[S_N\right]$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_N = m\right) \ge \frac{\delta N}{m^{5/2}} \ .$$

*Proof.* Fix large enough integers $N$ and $m$ with $\lambda m \geq \nu N$ and $N^{2/3} < \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, N$, let

$$E_i = \left\{ |m - \xi_i - \nu N| < N^{2/3},\ \max_{j=1,\dots,i-1,i+1,\dots,N} \xi_j \leq \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2},\ S_N = m \right\} .$$

Since $\nu N \leq \lambda m$, if the event $E_i$ occurs, then

$$\xi_i > m - \nu N - N^{2/3} \geq (1-\lambda)m - N^{2/3} > \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2} \geq \max_{j=1,\dots,i-1,i+1,\dots,N} \xi_j .$$

Therefore, the events $E_1, \dots, E_{N(n)}$ are disjoint. It follows by symmetry and independence that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(S_N = m) &\geq N \cdot \mathbb{P}(E_N) \\
&\geq N \cdot \mathbb{P}\left( |m - \xi_N - \nu N| < N^{2/3}, S_N = m \right) \\
&\quad - N^2 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left( \xi_N > \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2}, \xi_{N-1} > \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2}, S_N = m \right) \\
&= N \sum_{k=\lceil \nu N - N^{2/3} \rceil}^{\lfloor \nu N + N^{2/3} \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(S_{N-1} = k)\, \mathbb{P}(\xi_N = m - k) - \\
&\quad - N^2 \sum_{\ell=\lceil \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2} \rceil}^{m} \mathbb{P}\left( \xi_{N-1} > \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2}, S_{N-1} = m - \ell \right) \mathbb{P}(\xi_N = \ell) \\
&\geq N \sum_{k=\lceil \nu N - N^{2/3} \rceil}^{\lfloor \nu N + N^{2/3} \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(S_{N-1} = k)\, \mathbb{P}(\xi = m - k) \\
&\quad - N^2 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left( \xi > \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2} \right) \cdot \sup_{\lceil \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2} \rceil \leq \ell \leq m} \mathbb{P}(\xi = \ell) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

So with

$$c = \inf \left( \ell^{5/2} \cdot \mathbb{P}(\xi = \ell) : \ell \in \mathbb{N} \right) > 0 ,$$

for all $k$ in the above sum, we have $\mathbb{P}(\xi = m - k) \geq \frac{c}{m^{5/2}}$. Similarly, with

$$d = \sup \left( \ell^{5/2} \cdot \mathbb{P}(\xi = \ell) : \ell \in \mathbb{N} \right) < \infty ,$$

we have $\mathbb{P}\left( \xi > \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2} \right) \leq \frac{2d}{3} \left( \frac{2}{(1-\lambda)m} \right)^{3/2}$, and for $\ell \geq \frac{(1-\lambda)m}{2}$ we have $\mathbb{P}(\xi = \ell) \leq \frac{d}{\ell^{5/2}} \leq d \left( \frac{2}{(1-\lambda)m} \right)^{5/2}$. Together with the preceding inequalities, this yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}(S_N = m) \\
&\geq \frac{Nc}{m^{5/2}} \sum_{k=\lceil \nu N - N^{2/3} \rceil}^{\lfloor \nu N + N^{2/3} \rfloor} \mathbb{P}(S_{N-1} = k) - N^2 \cdot \frac{2d}{3} \left( \frac{2}{(1-\lambda)m} \right)^{3/2} \cdot d \left( \frac{2}{(1-\lambda)m} \right)^{5/2} .
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi$ is in the domain of attraction of a $\frac{3}{2}$-stable random variable, the fluctuation of $S_{N-1}$ around its mean is of order $N^{2/3}$. By decreasing $c$ if necessary, we may thus also assume that

$$\mathbb{P}\left( |S_{N-1} - \nu N| < N^{2/3} \right) \geq c ,$$

and obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_N = m\right) \geq \frac{c^2 N}{m^{5/2}} - \frac{2d^2}{3}\left(\frac{2}{1-\lambda}\right)^4 \frac{N^2}{m^4} \geq \frac{c^2 N}{2m^{5/2}} \ ;$$

the last inequality holding since for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\frac{N^2}{m^4} < \frac{\varepsilon N}{m^{5/2}}$ for large enough $N$ and for all $m$ permitted by the lemma. □

**Proof of Proposition 5.1.** First, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and recall that $S^k_{N(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N(n)} \xi^k_i$ where $\xi^k_i = \xi_i \mathbf{1}_{[\xi_i \leq k]}$. Considering which summand of $S_{N(n)}$ is largest leads to the inclusion of events

$$\{\xi_{(1)} = k\} \cap \{S_{N(n)} = m(n)\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(n)} \{\xi_i = k\} \cap \{S^k_{N(n)} - \xi^k_i = m(n) - k\} \ .$$

Write $\overline{w} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} w(\ell)$. By symmetry and independence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} = k, S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) &\leq N(n) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{N(n)} = k, S^k_{N(n)-1} = m(n) - k\right) \\
&= N(n) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{N(n)} = k\right) \mathbb{P}\left(S^k_{N(n)-1} = m(n) - k\right) \\
&= N(n) \cdot \frac{1 + \psi(k)}{k^{5/2}\overline{w}} \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(S^k_{N(n)-1} = m(n) - k\right) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\nu N(n)}{m(n)} < 1$, there exists $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\nu N(n)}{m(n)} \leq \lambda$. By Lemma 5.5 there exists $\delta = \delta(\lambda) > 0$ such that for all $n$ large enough we have $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) \geq \frac{\delta N(n)}{m(n)^{5/2}}$, so Bayes formula now gives

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} = k \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) \leq \frac{1 + \psi(k)}{\delta \overline{w}} \left(\frac{m(n)}{k}\right)^{5/2} \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(S^k_{N(n)-1} = m(n) - k\right) \ .$$

Note that if $S_{N(n)} = m(n)$ then $\xi_{(1)} \geq m(n)/N(n)$. Therefore, with $N(n) < (\ln n)^2$ we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} \leq \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) = 0$. It thus remains to consider the case $N(n) \geq (\ln n)^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} \leq \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{N(n)} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor} \frac{1 + \psi(k)}{\delta \overline{w}} \left(\frac{m(n)}{k}\right)^{5/2} \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(S^k_{N(n)-1} = m(n) - k\right) \\
&\leq \frac{N(n)^{5/2}}{\delta \overline{w}} \sup_{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{N(n)} \rfloor \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor} (1 + \psi(k)) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(S^k_{N(n)-1} \geq m(n)\left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ln n)^2}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{N(n)^{5/2}}{\delta \overline{w}} \sup_{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{N(n)} \rfloor \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor} (1 + \psi(k)) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(S^{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor}_{N(n)-1} \geq m(n)\left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ln n)^2}\right)\right) \ . \qquad (5.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $m(n) = n(1 + o(1))$, we have $\frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, by Lemma 5.4, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S^{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor}_{N(n)-1} \geq m(n)\left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ln n)^2}\right)\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{m(n)}{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor}\left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ln n)^2}\right) + \frac{\nu N(n)}{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor}(1 + o(1))\right) \ .$$

Since $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\nu N(n)}{m(n)} < 1$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for large enough $n$,

$$-\frac{m(n)}{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor}\left(1-\frac{1}{(\ln n)^2}\right) + \frac{\nu N(n)}{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor}(1+o(1)) < -\varepsilon(\ln n)^2 .$$

Hence, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N(n)-1}^{\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \rfloor} \geq m(n)\left(1-\frac{1}{(\ln n)^2}\right)\right) < e^{-\varepsilon(\ln n)^2} .$$

This combined with (5.5) yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} \leq \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) = O\left(\frac{N(n)^{5/2}}{n^{\varepsilon \ln n}}\right) = O\left(n^{-10}\right) . \qquad \square$$

**Proof of Proposition 5.2.** By symmetry and independence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} > \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}, \xi_{(2)} > r(n)^{5/6} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) \\
&\leq N(n)^2 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{N(n)} > \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}, \xi_{N(n)-1} > r(n)^{5/6} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) \\
&= N(n)^2 \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}+1 \rfloor}^{m(n)} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{N(n)} = i\right)\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{N(n)-1} > r(n)^{5/6}, S_{N(n)-1} = m(n)-i\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right)} \\
&= N(n)^2 \cdot O\left(\left(\frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right)^{-5/2}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}+1 \rfloor}^{m(n)} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{N(n)-1} > r(n)^{5/6}, S_{N(n)-1} = m(n)-i\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right)} \\
&\leq N(n)^2 \cdot O\left(\left(\frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right)^{-5/2}\right) \cdot \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{N(n)-1} > r(n)^{5/6}\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right)} ;
\end{aligned}$$

in the second equality we use the fact that for $i \geq \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}$, $\mathbb{P}(\xi = i) = O\left(\left(\frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right)^{-5/2}\right)$. Furthermore, since $\xi$ is in the domain of attraction of a $\frac{3}{2}$-stable random variable, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\xi > r(n)^{5/6}\right) = O\left(r(n)^{-\frac{3}{2}\cdot\frac{5}{6}}\right) = O\left(r(n)^{-5/4}\right)$. Together with Lemma 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{(1)} > \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}, \xi_{(2)} > r(n)^{5/6} \;\middle|\; S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right) \\
&= O\left(N(n)^2\left(\frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right)^{-5/2} r(n)^{-5/4}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left(S_{N(n)} = m(n)\right)} \\
&= O\left(N(n)^2\left(\frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right)^{-5/2} r(n)^{-5/4} N(n)^{-1} m(n)^{5/2}\right) \\
&= O\left(N(n)\left(\ln n\right)^5 r(n)^{-5/4}\right) .
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## 6. The Number of Facial 2-Cycles in the Pre-Root-Block

This section shows that for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r}$ with appropriate $r$, we have $|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| < 3r$ with high probability as $n \to \infty$, recalling that $|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Q}_n)|$ is the number of facial 2-cycles in the pre-root-block of $\mathrm{Q}_n$. Together with the assumptions that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$ and $r(n) = o(n)$, this verifies that the conditions in Corollary 5.3 hold with high probability, paving the way to proving condensation phenomena for $\mathrm{Q}_n$ in Section 7.

Recall that $\nu = \mathbb{E}[\xi]$.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Fix $\lambda \in (0,1)$. There exists $c = c(\lambda) > 0$ such that the following holds. For all sufficiently large integers $r$ and $n$ with $\lambda \cdot (n-r) \geq 2\nu r$, given $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| \geq 3r\right) \leq c \cdot \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^r \cdot n^{5/2} .$$

We first derive several lemmas before presenting the proof for Proposition 6.1, shown in the end of this section. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}$ from (4.3), and recall that $\mathcal{R}_r$ denotes the set of rooted 2-connected quadrangulations with $r$ vertices.

**Lemma 6.2.** *For $n, r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r < n$ and $k \leq n - r$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}| = |\mathcal{R}_r| \cdot \binom{2r-4+k}{k} \cdot 2^k \sum_{y_1+\ldots+y_k=n-r} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}| .$$

Lemma 6.2 follows from Lemma 4.2 by summing over sequences of $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_k) \in \mathcal{B}_{n-r,k}$ with $y_1 + \ldots + y_k = n - r$.

Next, let $M(z)$ be the generating function of rooted quadrangulations with $z$ marking the number of faces (or the number of vertices minus two). That is,

$$M(z) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{Q}_{\ell+2}| \cdot z^\ell .$$

Note that $M\left(\frac{1}{12}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$ by [6, Proposition 4]. Furthermore, by (4.1) we have $|\mathcal{Q}_{\ell+2}| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{12^\ell (1+\psi(\ell+1))}{(\ell+1)^{5/2}}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and we take $|\mathcal{Q}_2| = 1$ since we view a single edge as a quadrangulation. So

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1+\psi(\ell)}{\ell^{5/2}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{Q}_{\ell+2}| \cdot \frac{1}{12^\ell} = 1 + M\left(\frac{1}{12}\right) = \frac{4}{3} .$$

Thus, $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+\psi(\ell)}{\ell^{5/2}} = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3}$. Recalling the distribution of $\xi$ from (4.2), we then have, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi = i) = \frac{\frac{1+\psi(i)}{i^{5/2}}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+\psi(\ell)}{\ell^{5/2}}} = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1+\psi(i)}{i^{5/2}} . \tag{6.1}$$

Recall that $S_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_i$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$ are independent copies of $\xi$.

**Corollary 6.3.** *Fix $n, r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r < n$ and $k \leq n - r$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}| = |\mathcal{R}_r| \cdot \binom{2r-4+k}{k} \cdot \mathbb{P}(S_k = n-r) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{9}\right)^k 12^{n-r} .$$

*Proof.* It follows from (4.1) that

$$\sum_{y_1+\ldots+y_k=n-r} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}| = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^k 12^{n-r-k} \sum_{y_1+\ldots+y_k=n-r} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1+\psi(y_i)}{y_i^{5/2}} .$$

Combined with (6.1), we have

$$\sum_{y_1+\ldots+y_k=n-r} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |\mathcal{Q}_{y_i+1}| = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^k 12^{n-r-k} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3}\right)^k \cdot \mathbb{P}(S_k = n-r) .$$

The result then follows from Lemma 6.2. □

**Lemma 6.4.** *Fix $n, r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r < n$ and $2r-4 \le k \le n-r$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}| \le |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4}| \cdot \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{k-2r+4} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_k = n-r)}{\mathbb{P}(S_{2r-4} = n-r)} .$$

*Proof.* Note that for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\binom{a+k+1}{k+1} = \frac{(a+k+1)!}{a!(k+1)!} = \frac{a+k+1}{k+1}\binom{a+k}{k} .$$

Since $\frac{a+k}{k}$ decreases in $k$, it follows from Corollary 6.3 that for $k \ge 2r-4$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}| &\le |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4}| \cdot \left(\frac{2r-4+2r-4}{2r-4} \cdot \frac{2}{9}\right)^{k-2r+4} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_k = n-r)}{\mathbb{P}(S_{2r-4} = n-r)} \\ &\le |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4}| \cdot \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{k-2r+4} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{P}(S_k = n-r)}{\mathbb{P}(S_{2r-4} = n-r)} . \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Proposition 6.1.** Fix large enough integers $n$ and $r$ with $\lambda \cdot (n-r) \ge 2\nu r$. It follows from Lemma 5.5 that there exists $\delta = \delta(\lambda) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2r-4} = n-r) \ge \frac{\delta \cdot (2r-4)}{n^{5/2}} .$$

Since probabilities are at most 1, the bound in Lemma 6.4 gives that, for $2r-4 \le k \le n-r$,

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}| \le |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4}| \cdot \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{k-2r+4} \frac{n^{5/2}}{\delta \cdot (2r-4)} \le |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4}| \cdot \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{k-2r+4+\frac{5}{2}\log_{\frac{4}{9}} n} \frac{1}{\delta} .$$

In particular, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4-\frac{5}{2}\log_{\frac{4}{9}} n+\ell}\right| \le |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4}| \cdot \left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{\ell} \frac{1}{\delta} .$$

So for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| \ge 3r) &= \sum_{3r \le k \le n-r} \frac{|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}|}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-r} |\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,\ell}|} \\ &\le \sum_{2r-4-\frac{5}{2}\log_{\frac{4}{9}} n+r+\frac{5}{2}\log_{\frac{4}{9}} n \le k \le n-r} \frac{|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,k}|}{|\mathcal{Q}_{n,r,2r-4}|} \\ &\le \frac{9}{5\delta}\left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{r+\frac{5}{2}\log_{\frac{4}{9}} n} = \frac{9}{5\delta}\left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{r} n^{5/2} . \end{aligned}$$

□

## 7. Condensation in Uniform Quadrangulation Conditioned on Root Block Size

In this section, we show that for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, with high probability, there is condensation in $\mathrm{Q}_n$ (see [2] for an overview of condensation in random maps), and the root block $R(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ does not separate two large submaps; precise statements appear in Corollaries 7.1 and 7.2 respectively.

Recall from (3.2) that $(Y_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) + 1 : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)|)$ are the sizes of submaps pendant to $R(\mathrm{Q}_n)$, where $|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)|$ is the number of facial 2-cycles in the pre-root-block of $\mathrm{Q}_n$. We write $Y_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}_n), Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n), \ldots, Y_{(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)|)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ in its decreasing order, using a fixed rule to break ties.

**Corollary 7.1.** *For $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) \le \frac{m(n)}{(\ln n)^2}\right) = o(1) .$$

**Corollary 7.2.** *For* $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, *as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) = o(1) \ .$$

Corollaries 7.1 and 7.2 are immediate consequences of Proposition 6.1, (5.1), and (5.2). Their proofs are similar, so we only present the latter one.

**Proof of Corollary 7.2.** Fix $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) \le \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6} \,\middle|\, |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| < 3r(n)\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| \ge 3r(n)\right) \ .$$

Recalling that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$ for all $n$ and $r(n) = o(n)$ as $n \to \infty$, it follows from Proposition 6.1 that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| \ge 3r(n)\right) = o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6} \,\middle|\, |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| < 3r(n)\right) \le \sup_{k<3r(n)} \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6} \,\middle|\, \mathrm{Q}_n \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n),k}\right) \ .$$

It follows from (5.2) that, for $N(n) < 3r(n)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6} \,\middle|\, \mathrm{Q}_n \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n),N(n)}\right) = O\left(N(n)(\ln n)^5 r(n)^{-5/4}\right) = O\left(r(n)^{-1/4}(\ln n)^5\right) \ .$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{k<3r(n)} \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6} \,\middle|\, \mathrm{Q}_n \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n),k}\right) = O\left(r(n)^{-1/4}(\ln n)^5\right) = o(1) \ ;$$

the last equality follows from the assumption that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$, completing the proof. □

Next, for $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, we derive a tail bound for the maximal diameter of non-largest submap pendant to the root block. The derivation relies on [11, Proposition 4], stated as follows.

**Proposition 7.3.** (Chassaing & Schaeffer [11]). *Fix* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and let* $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_n$. *There exist positive constants* $x_0$, $c_1$, *and* $c_2$ *such that for all* $x > x_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{diam}\,(\mathrm{Q}_n) > x n^{1/4}\right) \le c_1 e^{-c_2 x} \ .$$

Combining Corollary 7.2 and Proposition 7.3, we obtain the following corollary easily.

Given $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$, recall from (3.1) that $(\mathrm{P}_i(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q})|)$ is the sequence of submaps pendant to $R(\mathrm{Q})$. We write them in the decreasing order of size as $(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}) : 1 \le i \le |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q})|)$, using a fixed rule to break ties.

**Corollary 7.4.** *Let* $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$. *Then for all* $x > 0$, *as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{2\le i\le|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)|} \mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)) \ge x \cdot r(n)^{1/4}\right) = o(1) \ .$$

*Proof.* For $|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| < i \le n - r(n)$, write $\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) = \emptyset$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\ge 0}$ with $k \le n - r + 1$. For any $1 \le i \le n - r(n)$, given that $|v(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = k$, $\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ is uniformly distributed over $\mathcal{Q}_k$ (denoting $\mathcal{Q}_0 = \emptyset$). By Proposition 7.3, there exist positive constants $x_0$, $c_1$, and $c_2$ such that for all $x \ge x_0$, and for all $1 \le i \le n - r(n)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)) \ge x k^{1/4} \,\middle|\, |v(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = k\right) \le c_1 e^{-c_2 x} \ . \tag{7.1}$$

Fix $x > 0$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{2\le i\le n-r(n)} \mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)) \ge x \cdot r(n)^{1/4}\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{2\leq i\leq n-r(n)} \mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)) \geq x\cdot r(n)^{1/4}, Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\leq r(n)^{5/6}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) .$$

By Corollary 7.2,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n) > r(n)^{5/6}\right) = o(1) .$$

Next, using a union bound,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{2\leq i\leq n-r(n)} \mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)) \geq x\cdot r(n)^{1/4}, Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\leq r(n)^{5/6}\right)\\
&\leq \sum_{i=2}^{n-r(n)} \sup_{1\leq k\leq \lfloor r(n)^{5/6}\rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{diam}\left(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right) \geq x\cdot r(n)^{1/4}\ ,\ |v(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = k\right)\\
&\leq \sum_{i=2}^{n-r(n)} \sup_{1\leq k\leq \lfloor r(n)^{5/6}\rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{diam}\left(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right) \geq x\cdot r(n)^{1/4}\ \middle|\ |v(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = k\right) . \qquad (7.2)
\end{aligned}$$

By (7.1), for all $1\leq k\leq r(n)^{5/6}$ and $1\leq i\leq n-r(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{diam}\left(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right) \geq x\cdot r(n)^{1/4}\ \middle|\ |v(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = k\right) &\leq c_1\cdot\exp\left(-c_2 x\cdot r(n)^{1/4}k^{-1/4}\right)\\
&\leq c_1\cdot\exp\left(-c_2 x\cdot r(n)^{1/24}\right) .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (7.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{2\leq i\leq n-r(n)} \mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{P}_{(i)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)) \geq x\cdot r(n)^{1/4}, Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\leq r(n)^{5/6}\right)\\
&\leq nc_1\cdot\exp\left(-c_2 x\cdot r(n)^{1/24}\right) = c_1\cdot\exp\left(\ln n - c_2 x\cdot r(n)^{1/24}\right) .
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$, we have

$$\ln n - c_2 x\cdot r(n)^{1/24} \to -\infty \qquad (7.3)$$

as $n\to\infty$, completing the proof. □

## 8. Uniformly Asymptotically Negligible Attachments

Fix $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_n$. Recall from Section 1.1 that $R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n) = \mathrm{Q}_n - v\left(L(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right)\setminus\{\rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n}\}$. In what follows, Propositions 8.1 and 8.2 show that $R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ and $R(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ have the same scaling limit, when respectively endowed with the measures in Theorem 1.2 and 1.1. With the measure of Theorem 1.2, no mass is assigned to the components of $R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n) - v(R(\mathrm{Q}_n))$, so the convergence in Proposition 8.1 is easier to establish than that in Proposition 8.2.

Given a graph $G$, we often write $d_G$ for the graph distance on any induced subgraph of $G$, and for the intrinsic metric in its approximating boundedly compact length space.

Recall that $k_n = \left(\frac{40\cdot r(n)}{21}\right)^{1/4}$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

**Proposition 8.1.** *For $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, we have*

$$\left(R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n),\ \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{r(n)}\cdot\mu_{R(\mathrm{Q}_n)}\right) \to \mathbf{m}_\infty \qquad (8.1)$$

*as $n\to\infty$ in distribution for the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology.*

*Proof.* For $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$. We write

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n = \left(R(\mathrm{Q}_n),\ \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{r(n)}\cdot\mu_{R(\mathrm{Q}_n)}\right) ,$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^+_n = \left(R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n),\ \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{r(n)}\cdot\mu_{R(\mathrm{Q}_n)}\right) .$$

As discussed in Section 1.3, $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{m}_\infty$ for the pointed GHP topology, so it suffices to show that $\mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} 0$.

Write $\mathcal{O}_n$ for the set of components of $R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n) - v(R(\mathrm{Q}_n))$. Since $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+$ are equipped with the same measure, it follows from the definition of $\mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}$ that

$$\mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+\right) \le \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot \max_{G \in \mathcal{O}_n} \mathrm{diam}(G) \ .$$

By Corollary 7.4, $\max_{G\in\mathcal{O}_n} \mathrm{diam}(G) = o(r(n)^{1/4})$ with $1 - o(1)$ probability. Since $k_n = \Theta(r(n)^{1/4})$, it follows that $\mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} 0$, completing the proof. □

**Proposition 8.2.** *For* $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, *we have*

$$\left(R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n),\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{|v(R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n))|} \cdot \mu_{R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)}\right) \to \mathbf{m}_\infty \tag{8.2}$$

*as* $n \to \infty$ *in distribution for the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology.*

We devote the rest of this section to proving Proposition 8.2. We proceed in the following two steps to show that given $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, with high probability there are no $w \in v(R(\mathrm{Q}_n))$ to which an overly large mass of pendant submaps attach. First, in Lemma 8.3 we prove a tail bound for the maximum degree in a uniform rooted 2-connected quadrangulation. Secondly, we prove that with high probability no edge of $R(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ is subdivided many times in $\mathrm{Q}_n$, as shown in Lemma 8.4.

Given a graph $G$, for $u \in v(G)$, write $\deg_G(u)$ for the degree of $u$ in $G$. Recall that $\mathcal{R}_r$ denotes the set of rooted 2-connected quadrangulations with $r$ vertices.

**Lemma 8.3.** *Fix* $x \in \mathbb{N}$. *For any* $\varepsilon > 1/2$ *there exists* $B > 0$ *such that for all* $r \in \mathbb{N}$, *given* $\mathrm{R}_r \in_u \mathcal{R}_r$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{u \in v(\mathrm{R}_r)} \deg_{\mathrm{R}_r}(u) = x\right) \le B\varepsilon^x r^{5/3} \ .$$

*Proof.* This straightforward proof is a slight modification of the proof of [4, Lemma 7.2]. First, for any $\varepsilon > 1/2$ there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_n$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{v \in v(\mathrm{Q}_n)} \deg_{\mathrm{Q}_n}(v) = x\right) \le c\varepsilon^x n \ ; \tag{8.3}$$

see [8, Theorem 2.1 (a)]. Now, fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and write $n = \lfloor 15r/7 \rfloor$. Let $\mathrm{R}_r \in_u \mathcal{R}_r$ and $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_n$. Next, let $\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ be the largest block of $\mathrm{Q}_n$, rooted at its $\prec_{\mathrm{Q}_n}$-minimal edge; if there are multiple blocks of size $|v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))|$, then among these blocks we choose $\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ to be the one whose root edge is $\prec_{\mathrm{Q}_n}$-minimal. Given that $|v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = r$, $\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ has the same law as $\mathrm{R}_r$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{u \in v(\mathrm{R}_r)} \deg_{\mathrm{R}_r}(u) = x\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{v \in v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))} \deg_{\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n)}(v) = x \ \middle|\ |v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = r\right) \\
&\le \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{v \in v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))} \deg_{\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n)}(v) = x\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(|v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = r\right)} \\
&\le \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{v \in v(\mathrm{Q}_n)} \deg_{\mathrm{Q}_n}(v) = x\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(|v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = r\right)} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

Note that $n = \lfloor 15r/7 \rfloor$. By [4, Proposition 4.3], there thus exists $c' > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|v(\mathrm{R}'(\mathrm{Q}_n))| = r\right) \ge c' r^{-2/3} \ .$$

Together with (8.3) and (8.4), it follows that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{u \in v(\mathrm{R}_r)} \deg_{\mathrm{R}_r}(u) = x\right) \leq \frac{c}{c'}\varepsilon^x r^{2/3} n \leq \frac{15c}{7c'}\varepsilon^x r^{5/3} \ . \qquad \square$$

Fix $\mathrm{Q}_n \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$ for now. List the edges of $R(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ as $e_1, \ldots, e_{2r(n)-4}$ in $\prec_{\mathrm{Q}_n}$-order. Create an extra copy $e_0$ of $e_1$ as in the decomposition described in the proof of Lemma 4.2. For $i = 0, \ldots, 2r(n) - 4$, write $\ell_i(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ for the number of copies of $e_i$ in $\mathrm{Q}_n$ minus one, that is, $\ell_i(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ is the number of facial 2-cycles resulting from the split of $e_i$.

**Lemma 8.4.** *For $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$, as $n \to \infty$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq 2r(n)-4} \ell_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) > 5 \ln r(n)\right) = O\left(r(n)^{-1}\right) \ .$$

*Proof.* For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$. Note that $\sum_{i=0}^{2r(n)-4} \ell_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) = |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)|$. It follows that the vector $(\ell_0(\mathrm{Q}_n), \ldots, \ell_{2r(n)-4})$ is distributed as a uniformly random weak composition of $|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)|$ into $2r(n)-3$ parts. (Recall that in a weak composition, empty parts are allowed.) In particular, $\ell_0(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ is distributed as the size of the first part in such a composition. Using that the number of weak compositions of $a$ into $b$ is $\binom{a+b-1}{b-1}$, and noting that $\left(1 - \frac{b-1}{j+b-1}\right)$ is increasing in $j$, it follows that for integers $j \leq k$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\ell_0(\mathrm{Q}_n) > j \;\middle|\; |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| = k\right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{2r(n)-4}{k + 2r(n) - 4}\right)^j \ .$$

Moreover, it follows from Proposition 6.1 that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| \geq 3r(n)\right) = O\left((4/9)^{r(n)} \cdot n^{5/2}\right)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Recalling that $r(n) > (\ln n)^{25}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left(\ell_0(\mathrm{Q}_n) > 5 \ln r(n)\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k < 3r(n)} \mathbb{P}\left(\ell_0(\mathrm{Q}_n) > 5 \ln r(n) \;\middle|\; |\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| = k\right) \mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| = k\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(|\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Q}_n)| \geq 3r(n)\right) \\
&\leq \left(1 - \frac{2r(n)-4}{3r(n) + 2r(n) - 4}\right)^{5 \ln r(n)} (1 - o(1)) + O\left((4/9)^{r(n)} \cdot n^{5/2}\right) \\
&\leq e^{-2 \ln r(n)}(1 - o(1)) + O\left((4/9)^{r(n)} \cdot n^{5/2}\right) \\
&= O\left(r(n)^{-2}\right) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by a union bound,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq 2r(n)-4} \ell_i(\mathrm{Q}_n) > 5 \ln r(n)\right) \leq 2r(n) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(\ell_0(\mathrm{Q}_n) > 5 \ln r(n)\right) = O\left(r(n)^{-1}\right) \ . \qquad \square$$

The next two facts provide deterministic bounds on the pointed GHP distance. Versions of these facts which apply to the non-pointed GHP distance appear in [4, Facts 6.3 and 6.4], and we omit their proofs.

**Fact 8.5.** *Fix a pointed measured metric space $\mathbf{V} = (V, d, o, \mu)$ and let $W \subset V$ with $o \in W$. Let $\mu_W$ be a Borel measure on $(W, d)$, and write $\mathbf{W} = (W, d, o, \mu_W)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{d}^{\star}_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) \leq \max\left\{d_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}), d_{\mathrm{P}}(\mu, \mu_W)\right\} \ .$$

**Fact 8.6.** *Fix a pointed measured metric space $\mathbf{V} = (V, d, o, \mu)$. Let $W \subset V$ be finite with $o \in W$ so that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $V = \{u \in V : d(u, W) \leq \varepsilon\}$. Let $\{P_w : w \in W\}$ be such that $\bigcup_{w \in W} P_w = V$, that $\mu(P_w \cap P_{w'}) = 0$ for $w \neq w'$, and that $P_w \subset \{u \in V :$*

*$d(u,w) \le \varepsilon\}$ for all $w \in W$. Define a measure $\nu$ on $W$ by setting $\nu(w) = \mu(P_w)$ for any $w \in W$, and let $\mathbf{W} = (W, d, o, \nu)$. Then*

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{GHP}}^{\star}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) \le \varepsilon \ .$$

The final ingredient for proving Proposition 8.2 is an asymptotic bound on the Lévy-Prokhorov distance between the uniform measure on the vertices of a graph and a certain exchangeable perturbation of this measure. This is a reprise of [4, Lemma 5.3, Corollaries 6.1 and 6.2]. We start by introducing notations.

In the sequel, for $\mathbf{n} = (\mathrm{n}_1, \dots, \mathrm{n}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p > 0$, write $|\mathbf{n}|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{n}_i^p)^{1/p}$. Now, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\mathbf{n} = (\mathrm{n}_1, \dots, \mathrm{n}_n)$ be a vector of non-negative real numbers with $|\mathbf{n}|_1 > 0$. Fix a rooted graph $\mathrm{G} \in \mathcal{G}_n$, and list the vertices as $v_1, \dots, v_n$ in the $<_{\mathrm{G}}$-order. Then define a measure on $v(\mathrm{G})$ by setting, for $V \subset v(\mathrm{G})$,

$$\mu_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathbf{n}}(V) = \sum_{\{i : v_i \in V\}} \mathrm{n}_i \ .$$

In words, we view $\mathrm{n}_i$ as the total mass of pendant submaps attached to $v_i$, and $\mu_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ as the measure assigning each vertex $v_i$ a mass of $\mathrm{n}_i$.

Recall from Section 1.1 that $\mu_{\mathrm{G}} = \sum_{v \in v(\mathrm{G})} \delta_v$ is the counting measure. Notice the different notations from [4], where the measures are defined with renormalization.

**Lemma 8.7.** *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{n} = (\mathrm{n}_1, \dots, \mathrm{n}_r)$ be an exchangeable random vector of non-negative real numbers, and let $\mathrm{R}_r \in_u \mathcal{R}_r$. If $|\mathbf{n}|_1 \to \infty$ and $|\mathbf{n}|_2 / |\mathbf{n}|_1 \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$, then*

$$d_{\mathrm{P}}\left( \frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}|_1} \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{R}_r}^{\mathbf{n}}, \ \frac{1}{r} \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{R}_r} \right) = o\left( r^{1/4} \right)$$

*with $1 - o(1)$ probability, where $d_{\mathrm{P}}$ is the Lévy-Prokhorov distance on $\mathrm{R}_r$.*

*Proof.* Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and write $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}_r$, for readability. List the vertices of R as $v_1, \dots, v_r$ in the $<_{\mathrm{R}}$-order. It suffices to show that, for any $V \subset v(\mathrm{R})$ and for any $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left( \left| \frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}|_1} \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(V) - \frac{1}{r} \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{R}}(V) \right| > \frac{2t}{|\mathbf{n}|_1} \ \middle| \ |\mathbf{n}|_2 \right) \le 2 \cdot \exp\left( -\frac{2t^2}{|\mathbf{n}|_2^2} \right) \ . \tag{8.5}$$

Assuming that (8.5) holds, Lemma 8.7 follows in a similar way as [4, Corollary 7.2] follows from [4, Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 7.1], and we refer the reader to that work for greater details.

Now we turn to proving (8.5). Note that $\mu_{\mathrm{R}}(V) = |V|$, and that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ \sum_{\{i : v_i \in V\}} \mathrm{n}_i \ \middle| \ |\mathbf{n}|_1 \right] = |\mathbf{n}|_1 \cdot \frac{|V|}{r} \ .$$

Then by a Hoeffding-type bound (see [17, Theorem 2.5]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left( \left| \frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}|_1} \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathbf{n}}(V) - \frac{1}{r} \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{R}}(V) \right| > \frac{2t}{|\mathbf{n}|_1} \ \middle| \ |\mathbf{n}|_2 \right) &= \mathbb{P}\left( \left| \sum_{\{i : v_i \in V\}} \mathrm{n}_i - |\mathbf{n}|_1 \cdot \frac{|V|}{r} \right| > 2t \ \middle| \ |\mathbf{n}|_2 \right) \\ &\le 2 \cdot \exp\left( -\frac{2t^2}{|\mathbf{n}|_2^2} \right) \ . \end{aligned}$$

This establishes (8.5) and thus concludes the proof. □

**Proof of Proposition 8.2.** Fix $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n, r(n)}$. We write $d_n$ for the distance on any induced submap of $\mathrm{Q}_n$, let $R_n^+ = R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ and $R_n = R(\mathrm{Q}_n)$. We root $R_n$ at the $\prec_{\mathrm{Q}_n}$-minimal edge, and write the resulting rooted map as $\mathrm{R}_n$. Then list the vertices of $\mathrm{R}_n$ as $v_1, \dots, v_{r(n)}$ in the $<_{\mathrm{R}_n}$-order, noting that $|v(R_n)| = r(n)$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_n$ be the set of components of $R_n^+ - v(R_n)$. For each $v \in v(R_n)$, let

$$C_v = \bigcup \{v(G) : G \in \mathcal{O}_n, d_n(G, v) = 1\} \bigcup \{v\} .$$

Now, let $\mathbf{n} = (|v(C_{v_i})| : 1 \le i \le r(n))$. Note that $\mu_{\mathrm{R}_n}^{\mathbf{n}}(v) = \mu_{R_n^+}(C_v)$ for $v \in v(R_n)$. Then let

$$\overline{\mathbf{R}}_n = \left(R_n,\ \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_n,\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{|v(R_n^+)|}\cdot \mu_{\mathrm{R}_n}^{\mathbf{n}}\right) ,\ \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+ = \left(R_n^+,\ \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_n,\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{|v(R_n^+)|}\cdot \mu_{R_n^+}\right) .$$

It follows from Fact 8.6 that

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{GHP}}^{\star}\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+\right) \le \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot \max_{G\in\mathcal{O}_n} \mathrm{diam}(G) .$$

By Corollary 7.4 and by the fact that $k_n = \Theta(r(n)^{1/4})$, $\frac{1}{k_n}\cdot \max_{G\in\mathcal{O}_n}\mathrm{diam}(G) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{GHP}}^{\star}\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} 0 . \tag{8.6}$$

Furthermore, we claim that

$$\max_{v\in v(R_n)} |C_v| = o(r(n)) \tag{8.7}$$

with $1-o(1)$ probability; this claim is proven in the end of this proof. Note that $|\mathbf{n}|_1 = \sum_{v\in v(R_n)}|C_v| > r(n)$, and that $r(n)\to\infty$ with $n$. Then (8.7) leads to

$$\frac{|\mathbf{n}|_2}{|\mathbf{n}|_1} = \frac{\left(\sum_{v\in v(R_n)}|C_v|^2\right)^{1/2}}{\sum_{v\in v(R_n)}|C_v|} \le \left(\frac{\max_{v\in v(R_n)}|C_v|}{\sum_{v\in v(R_n)}|C_v|}\right)^{1/2} = o(1)$$

with $1-o(1)$ probability as $n\to\infty$. This verifies the assumptions of Lemma 8.7. It thus follows from Lemma 8.7 that

$$d_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}|_1}\cdot\mu_{\mathrm{R}_n}^{\mathbf{n}},\ \frac{1}{r(n)}\cdot\mu_{R_n}\right) = o\left(r(n)^{1/4}\right) = o\left(k_n\right) ,$$

with $1-o(1)$ probability, where $d_{\mathrm{P}}$ denotes the Lévy-Prokhorov distance on $R_n$.

Next, let $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n = \left(R_n,\ \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_n,\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{1}{r(n)}\cdot\mu_{R_n}\right)$. Note that $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_n$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n$ have the same metric structure but with different measures, and that $|v(R_n^+)| = |\mathbf{n}|_1$. Then it follows from Fact 8.5 that

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{GHP}}^{\star}\left(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n\right) \le \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}|_1}\cdot\mu_{\mathrm{R}_n}^{\mathbf{n}},\ \frac{1}{r(n)}\cdot\mu_{R_n}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} 0 . \tag{8.8}$$

As noted in Section 1.3, $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{m}_\infty$ for the pointed GHP topology. Combined with (8.6) and (8.8), we thus have $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_n^+ \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{m}_\infty$ for the pointed GHP topology, establishing (8.2).

It remains to prove (8.7). Observe that

$$\max_{v\in v(R_n)}|C_v| \le \max_{0\le i\le 2r(n)-4}\ell_i(\mathrm{Q}_n)\cdot\max_{v\in v(R_n)}\deg_{R_n}(v)\cdot Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)+1 .$$

By Corollary 7.2, $Y_{(2)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\le r(n)^{5/6}$ with $1-o(1)$ probability. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 8.3 that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{v\in v(R_n)}\deg_{R_n}(v)\ge 3\ln r(n)\right) = O\left(e^{-3\ln r(n)}\cdot r(n)^{5/3}\right) = O(r(n)^{-1}) .$$

Finally, by Lemma 8.4, $\max_{0\le i\le 2r(n)-4}\ell_i(\mathrm{Q}_n)\le 5\ln r(n)$ with $1-o(1)$ probability. This combined with the bounds in the previous displays yields

$$\max_{v\in v(R_n)}|C_v| = O\left(\ln r(n)\cdot\ln r(n)\cdot r(n)^{5/6}\right) = o(r(n))$$

with $1-o(1)$ probability, establishing (8.7) and thus completing the proof. □

## 9. Proofs of the Main Theorems

Given pointed measured metric spaces $\mathbf{X} = (X, d, x, \mu)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, d', y, \mu')$, let $Z = (X \setminus \{x\}) \cup Y$, and define a distance $\delta$ on $Z$ by setting, for $p, q \in Z$,

$$\delta(p,q) = \begin{cases} d(p,q) & \text{if } p,q \in X \\ d'(p,q) & \text{if } p,q \in Y \\ d(p,x) + d'(y,q) & \text{if } p \in X, q \in Y \end{cases} .$$

Then define a measure $\nu$ on the Borel set of $(Z, \delta)$ by setting $\nu(V) = \mu(V \cap X \setminus \{x\}) + \mu'(V \cap Y)$. Finally, let $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = (Z, \delta, y, \nu)$. In words, $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is the pointed measured metric space obtained from $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ by identifying the distinguished points of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$.

Recall that given a pointed measured metric space $\mathbf{V} = (V, d, o, \nu)$, we let $B_r = B_r(\mathbf{V}) = \{w \in V : d(w, o) \leq r\}$, and write $\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{V}) = \left(B_r, d, o, \nu|_{B_r}\right)$.

**Lemma 9.1.** *Given pointed measured metric spaces $(\mathbf{X}_n : 1 \leq n \leq \infty)$ and $(\mathbf{Y}_n : 1 \leq n \leq \infty)$, if $\mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{X}_\infty) \to 0$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LGHP}}(\mathbf{Y}_n, \mathbf{Y}_\infty) \to 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LGHP}}(\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_n), \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}_\infty, \mathbf{Y}_\infty)) \to 0$$

*as $n \to \infty$.*

*Proof.* Write $\mathbf{Z}_n = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_n)$ and $\mathbf{Z}_\infty = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{X}_\infty, \mathbf{Y}_\infty)$. Let $r \geq 0$. By construction, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{Z}_n), \mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{Z}_\infty)) \leq \mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{X}_n), \mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{X}_\infty)) + \mathrm{d}^\star_{\mathrm{GHP}}(\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{Y}_n), \mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{Y}_\infty)) .$$

By assumption, the right hand side tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$. The result then follows from the definition of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{LGHP}}$. □

As discussed in Section 1, all graphs are endowed with edge lengths and viewed as length spaces. Recall that $k_n = \left(\frac{40 \cdot r(n)}{21}\right)^{1/4}$, and the following notations from Sections 1.1 and 1.3: given $\mathrm{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_n$,

- $L = P_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q})$ is the largest submap pendant to $R(\mathrm{Q})$,
- $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathrm{Q}) = \left(L,\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_L,\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}},\ \frac{8}{9k_n^4} \cdot \mu_L\right)$,
- $R^+ = R^+(\mathrm{Q}) = \mathrm{Q} - v(L) \setminus \{\rho_{\mathrm{Q}}\}$,
- $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^+(\mathrm{Q}) = \left(R^+,\ \frac{1}{k_n} \cdot d_{R^+},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}},\ \frac{1}{|v(R^+)|} \cdot \mu_{R^+}\right)$.

The follow lemma relies on Proposition B.2.

**Lemma 9.2.** *For $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_{n,r(n)}$,*

$$\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^+(\mathrm{Q}_n), \widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} (\mathbf{m}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}})$$

*as $n \to \infty$ for the local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology, where $\mathbf{m}_\infty$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ are independent.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 8.2, $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^+(\mathrm{Q}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{m}_\infty$ for the pointed GHP topology, hence also holds for the local GHP topology. Moreover, we show in Proposition B.2 that $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathrm{Q}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ for the local GHP topology. Finally, the independence between $\mathbf{m}_\infty$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ follows from the conditional independence of $R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n) \setminus \{\rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n}\}$ and $P_{(1)}(\mathrm{Q}_n)$ given their sizes. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** It follows from Lemma 9.2 and the Skorokhod representation theorem that there exists a probability space where $\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^+(\mathrm{Q}_n), \widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right) \to (\mathbf{m}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}})$ almost surely. Lemma 9.1 then yields that in this space we have

$$\mathbf{Z}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^+(\mathrm{Q}_n), \widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right) \to \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{m}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}})$$

almost surely, which implies convergence in distribution. It is easily seen that

$$\mathbf{Z}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^+(\mathrm{Q}_n), \widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathrm{Q}_n)\right) \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \left(\mathrm{Q}_n,\ \frac{1}{k_n}\cdot d_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \rho_{\mathrm{Q}_n},\ \frac{8}{9k_n^4}\cdot \mu_{L(\mathrm{Q}_n)} + \frac{1}{|v(R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n))|}\cdot(\mu_{R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)} - 1)\right) .$$

In the above, $(\mu_{R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)} - 1)$ can be replaced by $\mu_{R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n)}$ without affecting the convergence in distribution since $|v(R^+(\mathrm{Q}_n))| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Finally, from the definitions of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ given in Appendix A, and the definition of $\mathbf{m}_\infty$ in Appendix B, we have $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{m}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}) \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. Briefly: the equivalence of metric structure is clear, and the measure of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, defined as $(\pi_1 \circ p_{(1)})_*\mathrm{Leb}_{[0,1]} + (\pi_\infty \circ p_\infty)_*\mathrm{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}}$, is the same as the measure of $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{m}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}})$, since the measure of the point in $\mathbf{m}_\infty$ which is glued to the distinguished point of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ is almost surely 0. This concludes the proof. □

Theorem 1.2 follows from Proposition 8.1 in the same way as Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 8.2, so we omit the proof.

## Appendix A. The Brownian Plane, with and without Minbus

In the remaining paper, for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $s \wedge t = \min(s,t)$ and $s \vee t = \max(s,t)$.

A.1. **The Brownian Plane with Minbus.** Let $\mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{e}_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a standard Brownian excursion. Define a process $Z' = (Z'_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ such that, conditioned on $\mathbf{e}$, $Z'$ is a centred Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z'_s Z'_t \mid \mathbf{e}\right] = \min_{r\in[s\wedge t, s\vee t]} \mathbf{e}_r$$

for any $s, t \in [0,1]$. Then shift the time index of the pair $(\mathbf{e}_t, Z'_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ so that the "new $Z'_0$" is minimal among $(Z'_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$. More precisely, by [16, Proposition 2.5], there exists an almost surely unique time $s_* \in [0,1]$ such that $Z'_{s_*} = \min\{Z'_t : t \in [0,1]\}$. Then for any $t \in [0,1]$, let

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_t = \mathbf{e}_{s_*} + \mathbf{e}_{s_*\oplus t} - 2\inf_{r\in[s_*\wedge s_*\oplus t, s_*\vee s_*\oplus t]} \mathbf{e}_r \ , \tag{A.1}$$

$$\bar{Z}'_t = Z'_{s_*\oplus t} - Z'_{s_*} \ ,$$

where $s_* \oplus t = s + t$ if $s_* + t \le 1$, and $s_* \oplus t = s + t - 1$ otherwise. By [16, Theorem 1.2], $(\bar{\mathbf{e}}_t, \bar{Z}'_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ has the same distribution as $(\mathbf{e}_t, Z'_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ conditioned on $\min_{t\in[0,1]} Z'_t \ge 0$. The Continuum Random Tree (CRT) coded by $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ may be viewed as the CRT coded by $\mathbf{e}$ re-rooted at the vertex with minimal label, and the labels $\bar{Z}'$ on the CRT coded by $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ are derived from $Z'$ by subtracting the minimal label; see Beltran & Le Gall [7, Section 2.3].

Next, let $R = (R_t)_{t\ge0}$ and $R' = (R'_t)_{t\ge0}$ be two independent three-dimensional Bessel processes started from 0, independent of $\mathbf{e}$. We define $\mathrm{R} = (\mathrm{R}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ by setting

$$\mathrm{R}_t = \begin{cases} R_t & \text{if } t \ge 0 \\ R'_{-t} & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases} . \tag{A.2}$$

Then for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\overline{st} = \begin{cases} [s\wedge t, s\vee t] & \text{if } st \ge 0 \\ (-\infty, s\wedge t] \cup [s\vee t, \infty) & \text{if } st < 0 \end{cases} ,$$

and define a process $Z = (Z_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ such that, conditioned on R, $Z$ is the centred Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z_s Z_t \mid \mathrm{R}\right] = \inf_{r\in\overline{st}} \mathrm{R}_r \tag{A.3}$$

for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathrm{X} = (\mathrm{X}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a concatenation of $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ and R by setting

$$\mathrm{X}_t = \begin{cases} \bar{\mathbf{e}}_t & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1 \\ R_{t-1} & \text{if } t > 1 \\ R'_{-t} & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases} .$$

Similarly, let $\mathrm{W} = (\mathrm{W}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be given by

$$\mathrm{W}_t = \begin{cases} \bar{Z}'_t & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1 \\ Z_{t-1} & \text{if } t > 1 \\ Z_t & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases} .$$

For any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\widehat{st} = \begin{cases} (-\infty, s\wedge t] \cup [s\vee t, \infty) & \text{if } st < 0 \text{ and } s\vee t > 1 \\ [s\wedge t, s\vee t] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Now, we define a random pseudo-metric $d_{\mathrm{X}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by setting, for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$d_{\mathrm{X}}(s,t) = \mathrm{X}_s + \mathrm{X}_t - 2 \inf_{r\in\widehat{st}} \mathrm{X}_r .$$

Write $s \sim_{\mathrm{X}} t$ if $d_{\mathrm{X}}(s,t) = 0$, and let $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}/\sim_{\mathrm{X}}$. Informally, we may view $\mathcal{T}$ as obtained from gluing the root of Aldous' Continuum Random Tree at the root of infinite Brownian tree. It is easily seen that $\mathrm{W}_0 = 0$, $\mathbb{E}\left[(\mathrm{W}_s - \mathrm{W}_t)^2 \mid \mathrm{X}\right] = d_{\mathrm{X}}(s,t)$, and W has a modification with continuous paths (we shall view W as such in the sequel). Then $d_{\mathrm{X}}(s,t) = 0$ implies $\mathrm{W}_s = \mathrm{W}_t$ almost surely, so we may view W as indexed by $\mathcal{T}$, and we do so.

For any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$D^\circ(s,t) = \mathrm{W}_s + \mathrm{W}_t - 2 \inf_{r\in[s\wedge t, s\vee t]} \mathrm{W}_r . \tag{A.4}$$

Write $p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{T}$ for the canonical projection, then we extend the definition of $D^\circ$ to $\mathcal{T}\times\mathcal{T}$ by setting, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$D^\circ(a,b) = \min\left\{D^\circ(s,t) : s,t \in \mathbb{R}, p(s) = a, p(t) = b\right\} .$$

Let

$$D(a,b) = \inf_{a_0=a, a_1, \ldots, a_k = b} \sum_{i=1}^{k} D^\circ(a_{i-1}, a_i) \tag{A.5}$$

with the infimum taken over all choices of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and of the finite sequence $a_0 = a, a_1, \ldots, a_k = b \in \mathcal{T}$. It follows that $D$ is a pseudo-metric on $\mathcal{T}$. Write $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}/\{D = 0\}$, and let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}$ be the equivalence class of $p(0)$. Let $\pi$ be the canonical projection from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{S}$, and we continue to use $D$ to denote the push-forward of $D$ by $\pi\times\pi$ to $\mathcal{S}$.

Finally, write $\mathrm{Leb}_I$ for the Lebesgue measure over interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mu = (\pi \circ p)_*\mathrm{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The *pointed measured Brownian plane with minbus* is the pointed measured metric space $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} := (\mathcal{S}, D, \rho, \mu)$.

A.2. **The Brownian Plane.** We quickly go over the definition of the Brownian plane from [12], referring the reader to that work for a full exposition.

Let $R$ and $R'$ be two independent three-dimensional Bessel processes started from 0, and define R as in (A.2). Now define a random pseudo-metric $d_{\mathrm{R}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by setting, for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $d_{\mathrm{R}}(s,t) = \mathrm{R}_s + \mathrm{R}_t - 2\inf_{r\in\overline{st}} \mathrm{R}_r$. We write $s \sim_{\mathrm{R}} t$ if $d_{\mathrm{R}}(s,t) = 0$. The quotient space $\mathcal{T}_\infty := \mathbb{R}/\sim_{\mathrm{R}}$ equipped with $d_{\mathrm{R}}$ is called the infinite Brownian tree. Conditionally given R, let $Z$ be the centred Gaussian process with covariance as in (A.3). Then define $D^\circ_\infty$ similarly as $D^\circ$ in (A.4) with W replaced by $Z$, and define $D_\infty$ analogously to $D$ in (A.5).

Write $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T}/\{D_\infty = 0\}$. Let $p_\infty : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{T}_\infty$ and $\pi_\infty : \mathcal{T}_\infty \to \mathcal{P}$, respectively, be the canonical projections. Let $\rho_\infty \in \mathcal{P}$ be the equivalence class of $p_\infty(0)$. Finally, let $\mu_\infty = (\pi_\infty \circ p_\infty)_* \mathrm{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then write $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{P}, D_\infty, \rho_\infty, \mu_\infty)$ for the pointed measured Brownian plane.

## Appendix B. Convergence to the Brownian Plane in the Local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov Topology

In this section, we establish the convergence towards the Brownian plane in the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov (GHP) topology, extending the result of [12] for the Gromov-Hausdorff (GH) topology.

B.1. **Scaled Brownian Map.** We elaborate a bit on the definition of the scaled Brownian map [12], to make this article more self-contained, but follow the notations of that paper. See [12, Section 2.2] for omitted definitions.

Fix $\lambda > 0$ in this subsection, and let $\mathbf{e}^\lambda = (\mathbf{e}^\lambda_t)_{t\in[0,\lambda^4]}$ be a Brownian excursion of lifetime $\lambda^4$. Write $\mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$ for the scaled Brownian Continuum Random Tree (CRT) indexed by $\mathbf{e}^\lambda$, and let $p_{(\lambda)} : [0,\lambda^4] \to \mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$ be the canonical projection, sending $x \in [0,\lambda^4]$ to its equivalence class in $\mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$. Conditionally given $\mathbf{e}^\lambda$, let $Z^\lambda = (Z^\lambda_t)_{0\le t\le\lambda^4}$ be the centred Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z^\lambda_s Z^\lambda_t \;\middle|\; \mathbf{e}^\lambda\right] = \min_{r\in[s\wedge t, s\vee t]} \mathbf{e}^\lambda_r \,. \tag{B.1}$$

Furthermore, for $s,t \in [0,\lambda^4]$ with $s \le t$, we let

$$D^\circ_\lambda(s,t) = D^\circ_\lambda(t,s) = Z^\lambda_s + Z^\lambda_t - 2\max\left(\min_{r\in[s,t]} Z^\lambda_r, \min_{r\in[t,\lambda^4]\cup[0,s]} Z^\lambda_r\right) \,.$$

Now extend the definition of $D^\circ_\lambda$ to $\mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)} \times \mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$ by setting, for any $a,b \in \mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$,

$$D^\circ_\lambda(a,b) = \min\left\{D^\circ_\lambda(s,t) : s,t \in [0,\lambda^4], p_{(\lambda)}(s) = a, p_{(\lambda)}(t) = b\right\} \,,$$

and

$$D^*_\lambda(a,b) = \inf_{a_0=a,a_1,\dots,a_p=b} \sum_{i=1}^{p} D^\circ_\lambda(a_{i-1},a_i) \,,$$

where the infimum is over all choices of the integer $p \ge 1$ and of the finite sequence $a_0,\dots,a_p$ in $\mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$ such that $a_0 = a$ and $a_p = b$. It follows that $D^*_\lambda$ is a pseudo-metric on $\mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$. Write $Y^\lambda = \mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}/\{D^*_\lambda = 0\}$, and let $\rho_\lambda \in Y^\lambda$ be the equivalence class in $Y^\lambda$ of $p_{(\lambda)}(0)$. Let $\pi_\lambda$ be the canonical projection from $\mathcal{T}_{(\lambda)}$ to $Y^\lambda$, and we continue to use $D^*_\lambda$ to denote the push-forward of $D^*_\lambda$ by $\pi_\lambda \times \pi_\lambda$ to $Y^\lambda$.

Finally, let $\mu_\lambda = (\pi_\lambda \circ p_{(\lambda)})_* \mathrm{Leb}_{[0,\lambda^4]}$. The *pointed measured scaled Brownian map* is $\mathbf{Y}^\lambda := (Y^\lambda, D^*_\lambda, \rho_\lambda, \mu_\lambda)$.

Taking $\lambda = 1$, $\mathcal{T}_{(1)}$ is the Brownian CRT. We write $\mathbf{m}_\infty = (Y^1, D^*_1, \rho_1, \mu_1)$ for the pointed measured Brownian map. For any $\lambda > 0$, write

$$\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty = (Y^1,\ \lambda \cdot D^*_1,\ \rho_1,\ \lambda^4 \cdot \mu_1) \,.$$

**Fact B.1.** *For all $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \mathbf{Y}^\lambda \,.$$

*Proof.* Fix $\lambda > 0$. As remarked in [12, (5)], we have $(Y^1, \lambda \cdot D^*_1, \rho_1) \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} (Y^\lambda, D^*_\lambda, \rho_\lambda)$. Furthermore, the equality $\lambda^4 \cdot \mu_1 \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \mu_\lambda$ follows from the fact that they are both push-forwards of $\mathrm{Leb}_{[0,\lambda^4]}$ by two functions which are equivalent in distribution. □

B.2. **A Nice Event.** [12, Proposition 4] defines an event on which, $\lambda\cdot\mathbf{m}_\infty$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ have the same *local metric* structure. In Proposition B.2 below, we show that on this event, $\lambda\cdot\mathbf{m}_\infty$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ also have the same local structure with their endowed measures. The purpose of the current subsection is to describe this event.

Fix $A>1$, $\alpha>0$ and $\lambda>(2\alpha)^{1/4}$. Let $\mathbf{e}^\lambda$ be a copy of Brownian excursion of lifetime $\lambda^4$, and let $\mathrm{R}=(R,R')$, independent of $\mathbf{e}^\lambda$, be copies of independent three-dimensional Bessel processes. Next, let $Z$ and $Z^\lambda$ be centred Gaussian processes with covariances, respectively, given in (A.3) and (B.1). Furthermore, for every $x\geq 0$, let

$$\gamma_\infty(x)=\sup(t\geq 0: R_t=x)\ .$$

Now define the event

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda=\mathcal{E}_{A,\alpha,\lambda}(\mathbf{e}^\lambda,R,R')=\left\{\mathbf{e}^\lambda_t=R_t \text{ and } \mathbf{e}^\lambda_{\lambda^4-t}=R'_t,\forall t\leq\alpha\right\}\cap\left\{\min_{\alpha\leq t\leq\lambda^4-\alpha}\mathbf{e}^\lambda_t=\inf_{t\geq\alpha}R_t\wedge\inf_{t\geq\alpha}R'_t\right\}\ .$$

As in the proof of [12, Proposition 4], on $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ we have

$$Z^\lambda_t=Z_t\ ,\ Z^\lambda_{\lambda^4-t}=Z_{-t}\ ,\ \forall t\in[0,\alpha]\ .$$

Then let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda=\mathcal{F}_{A,\alpha,\lambda}(\mathbf{e}^\lambda,R,R',Z^\lambda,Z)$ be the intersection of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ with the following events:

$$\inf_{t\geq\alpha}R_t\wedge\inf_{t\geq\alpha}R'_t>A^4$$

and

$$\min_{0\leq x\leq A}Z_{\gamma_\infty(x)}<-10\ ,\ \min_{A\leq x\leq A^2}Z_{\gamma_\infty(x)}<-10\ ,\ \min_{A^2\leq x\leq A^4}Z_{\gamma_\infty(x)}<-10\ .$$

B.3. **Convergence to the Brownian Plane.** Recall from Section 1 that, given $\mathrm{Q}=(Q,uv)\in\mathcal{Q}$, we let $\mu_Q=\sum_{v\in v(Q)}\delta_v$. Since local GHP convergence is only stated for length spaces, we view each edge $e$ of $Q$ as an isometric copy $I_e$ of the unit interval $[0,1]$. We abuse notation and continue to write $(Q,d_Q)$ for the resulting length space. In this appendix, for $c>0$ we write

$$c\cdot\mathbf{Q}=\left(Q,\ c\cdot d_Q,\ u,\ \frac{8c^4}{9}\cdot\mu_Q\right)\ .$$

In the sequel, given $\mathrm{Q}=(Q,uv)\in\mathcal{Q}$, we always let $u$ be the distinguished point of Q.

**Proposition B.2.** *Let $(k_n\in\mathbb{R}_+:n\in\mathbb{N})$ be such that $k_n\to\infty$ and $k_n=o(n^{1/4})$ as $n\to\infty$. Then for $\mathrm{Q}_n\in_u\mathcal{Q}_n$,*

$$k_n^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{Q}_n\to\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$$

*in distribution for the local Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov topology.*

Recall that given a pointed measured metric space $\mathbf{V}=(V,d,o,\nu)$, writing $B_r=B_r(\mathbf{V})$, we let

$$\mathrm{B}_r(\mathbf{V})=(B_r,\ d,\ o)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbf{B}_r(\mathbf{V})=\left(B_r,\ d,\ o,\ \nu\big|_{B_r}\right)\ , \tag{B.2}$$

where $\nu\big|_{B_r}$ denotes the measure $\nu$ restricted to $B_r$.

By the definition of local GHP, it suffices to show that, given $\mathrm{Q}_n\in_u\mathcal{Q}_n$, for any $r\geq 0$,

$$\mathbf{B}_r(k_n^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{Q}_n)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}}\mathbf{B}_r(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}) \tag{B.3}$$

as $n\to\infty$ for the pointed GHP topology; see Sections 2.2 and 2.3 for definitions of local GHP and pointed GHP topologies, respectively. We will show the convergence for $r=1$, for ease of notation, and the argument for $r\neq 1$ follows similarly.

**Proof of Proposition B.2.** This proof is a slight extension of the proof for [12, Theorem 1.2].

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows immediately from [12, Proposition 3] and the proof of [12, Proposition 4] that there exists $A > 1$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\lambda_0 > (2\alpha)^{1/4}$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, we can construct copies of $\mathbf{e}^\lambda$, $R$, $R'$, $Z^\lambda$, $Z$, $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty$, and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ on a common probability space in such a way that, with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$, the event $\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \mathcal{F}_{A,\alpha,\lambda}(\mathbf{e}^\lambda, R, R', Z^\lambda, Z)$ holds. As shown in [12, Proposition 4], on the event $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, it holds that

$$\mathrm{B}_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty) = \mathrm{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}) \ .$$

On the other hand, by [12, Proposition 9], there exists $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for every sufficiently large integers $m$ and $n$ with $n > m$, we can construct $\mathrm{Q}_n \in_u \mathcal{Q}_n$ and $\mathrm{Q}_m \in_u \mathcal{Q}_m$ on a common probability space in such a way that the equality

$$\mathrm{B}_{\alpha_0 m^{1/4}}(\mathbf{Q}_n) = \mathrm{B}_{\alpha_0 m^{1/4}}(\mathbf{Q}_m)$$

holds with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2\lambda_0}$ and $k_n \leq \alpha_0 \lfloor n^{1/4} \rfloor$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We write

$$\lambda = \alpha_0^{-1} \left(\frac{8}{9}\right)^{1/4} \ ,$$

and note that $\lambda > \lambda_0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$m_n = \lceil \alpha_0^{-1} k_n \rceil^4 \ .$$

Since $m_n$ tends to infinity with $n$, it follows that for large enough $n$, we may couple $\mathrm{Q}_n$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{m_n}$ such that the equality

$$\mathrm{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n) = \mathrm{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{m_n}) \tag{B.4}$$

holds with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$. Since $\nu_{\mathrm{Q}_n}$ and $\nu_{\mathrm{Q}_{m_n}}$ both are counting measures, it follows from (B.4) that

$$\nu_{\mathrm{Q}_n}\big|_{\mathrm{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n)} = \nu_{\mathrm{Q}_{m_n}}\big|_{\mathrm{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{m_n})} \tag{B.5}$$

with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$.

In the remainder of the proof, we let $T = \lambda^4$.

Next, for every $x \in [0, \mathbf{e}^\lambda_{T/2}]$, we set

$$\gamma_\lambda(x) = \sup\left(t \leq T/2 : \mathbf{e}^\lambda_t = x\right) \ , \ \eta_\lambda(x) = \inf\left(t \geq T/2 : \mathbf{e}^\lambda_t = x\right) \ .$$

By [12, Lemma 5], on the event $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, if $D^*_\lambda(\rho_\lambda, p_{(\lambda)}(t)) \leq 1$ then $t \in [0, \gamma_\lambda(A)) \cup (\eta_\lambda(A), T]$. From the proof of [12, Proposition 4], we also know that $\gamma_\lambda(A) < \alpha$ and $T - \eta_\lambda(A) < \alpha$. Recalling the definition of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ from Section B.2, it follows that on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, we simultaneously have

$$\mathbf{e}^\lambda_t = R_t \ , \ Z^\lambda_t = Z_t \ , \ \forall t \in [0, \gamma_\lambda(A)] \ ,$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}^\lambda_t = R'_{T-t} \ , \ Z^\lambda_t = Z_{t-T} \ , \ \forall t \in [\eta_\lambda(A), T] \ .$$

This implies that on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$,

$$\pi_\lambda \circ p_{(\lambda)}\big|_{[0,\gamma_\lambda(A)) \cup (\eta_\lambda(A), T]} = \pi_\infty \circ p_\infty\big|_{[0,\gamma_\lambda(A)) \cup (\eta_\lambda(A) - T, 0]} \ ,$$

where $\pi_\lambda \circ p_{(\lambda)}$ is the canonical projection from $[0, T]$ to $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty$, and $\pi_\infty \circ p_\infty$ is the canonical projection from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$. Since $B_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty) \subset \pi_\lambda \circ p_{(\lambda)}([0, \gamma_\lambda(A)) \cup (\eta_\lambda(A), T])$ and $B_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}) \subset \pi_\infty \circ p_\infty([0, \gamma_\lambda(A)) \cup (\eta_\lambda(A) - T, 0])$, we obtain that, on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, the measured versions of $\mathrm{B}_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty)$ and $\mathrm{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}})$ are also equal:

$$\mathbf{B}_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty) = \mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}) \ . \tag{B.6}$$

Next, since $\frac{8m_n}{9k_n^4} = \lambda^4$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from [19, Theorem 1] and [15, Theorem 1.1] that

$$k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{m_n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty$$

for the pointed GHP topology. (In [12, 19], the convergence is only stated for the GH topology, but the proof in fact yields the above formulation. This is also stated explicitly in [3, Theorem 4.1].) So

$$\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{m_n}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{B}_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty) \tag{B.7}$$

for the pointed GHP topology.

Finally, it follows that we may simultaneously couple $\mathrm{Q}_n$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{m_n}$, $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty$, and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}$ so that with probability at least $1 - 2\varepsilon$ we have both

$$\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n) = \mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{m_n})$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty) = \mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}) \ .$$

In a space where such a coupling holds, for any bounded continuous function $F : \mathbb{K}^\star \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n)) - F(\mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}))\right]\right| \leq\ & \left|\mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n)) - F(\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{m_n}))\right]\right| \\ & + \left|\mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{m_n})) - F(\mathbf{B}_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty))\right]\right| \\ & + \left|\mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{m}_\infty)) - F(\mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}))\right]\right| \ . \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\|F\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{K}^\star} F(x)$, the first and the third terms on the right of the inequality are each less than $2\varepsilon\|F\|$. The second term tends to 0 with $n$, by (B.7). Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left|\mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n))\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}))\right]\right| < 4\varepsilon\|F\| \ .$$

Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n))\right] \to \mathbb{E}\left[F(\mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}))\right]$, so $\mathbf{B}_1(k_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{B}_1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}})$ for the pointed GHP topology by the Portmanteau theorem. As noted above, the case $r \neq 1$ of (B.3) follows by a similar argument. □

## References


[1] Abraham, C. (2013). Rescaled bipartite planar maps converge to the Brownian map. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.5959.

[2] Addario-Berry, L. (2015). A probabilistic approach to block sizes in random maps.

[3] Addario-Berry, L. & Albenque, M. (2013). The scaling limit of random simple triangulations and random simple quadrangulations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1306.5227.*

[4] Addario-Berry, L. & Wen, Y. (2014). Joint Convergence of Random Quadrangulations and Their Cores. ArXiv preprint arxiv:1503.06738.

[5] Abraham, R., Delmas, J. F. & Hoscheit, P. (2013). A note on the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov distance between (locally) compact metric measure spaces. Electron. J. Probab, 18(14), 1-21.

[6] Banderier, C., Flajolet, P., Schaeffer, G. & Soria, M. (2001). Random maps, coalescing saddles, singularity analysis, and Airy phenomena. Random Structures & Algorithms, 19(3-4), 194-246.

[7] Beltran, J., & Le Gall, J. F. (2013). Quadrangulations with no pendant vertices. Bernoulli, 19(4), 1150-1175.

[8] Bender, E. A., & Canfield, E. R. (1989). Face sizes of 3-polytopes. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 46(1), 58-65.

[9] Bettinelli, J., Jacob, E., & Miermont, G. (2014). The scaling limit of uniform random plane maps, via the Ambjørn-Budd bijection. Electron. J. Probab, 19(74), 1-16.

[10] Burago, D., Burago, Y. & Ivanov, S. (2001). A course in metric geometry (Vol. 33, pp. 371-374). Providence: American Mathematical Society.

[11] Chassaing, P. & Schaeffer, G. (2004). Random planar lattices and integrated superBrownian excursion. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 128(2), 161-212.

[12] Curien, N. & Le Gall, J. F. (2012). The Brownian plane. Journal of Theoretical Probability, 1-43.
[13] Jain, S., & Mathur, S. D. (1992). World-sheet geometry and baby universes in 2D quantum gravity. Physics Letters B, 286(3), 239-246.
[14] Janson, S. (2012). Simply generated trees, conditioned GaltonWatson trees, random allocations and condensation. Probab. Surv, 9, 103-252.
[15] Le Gall, J. F. (2013). Uniqueness and universality of the Brownian map. The Annals of Probability, 41(4), 2880-2960.
[16] Le Gall, J. F. & Weill, M. (2006). Conditioned Brownian trees. Ann. IH Poincaré-PR, 42, 455-489.
[17] McDiarmid, C. (1998). Concentration. In Probabilistic methods for algorithmic discrete mathematics (pp. 195-248). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
[18] Miermont, G. (2009). Tessellations of random maps of arbitrary genus. In Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure (Vol. 42, No. 5, pp. 725-781).
[19] Miermont, G. (2013). The Brownian map is the scaling limit of uniform random plane quadrangulations. Acta mathematica, 210(2), 319-401.

*URL*: http://www.math.mcgill.ca/ywen